```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                        EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
 2
                              SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                       Plaintiff,
 5
     VS.
                                        Case No. 17-20632
     D-1 NOEL EISLEY
 6
                                        Hon. Stephen J. Murphy, III
     D-2 TERRY KOVAC
 7
     D-4 FELIPE DOMINGUEZ-MEIJA
     D-6 ERIC JAMES ROBINSON,
 8
                       Defendants.
 9
10
                                 SENTENCINGS
11
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III
                        United States District Judge
                  Theodore Levin United States Courthouse
12
                        231 West Lafayette Boulevard
                         Detroit, Michigan 48226
13
                           Tuesday, July 17, 2018
14
     APPEARANCES:
15
     For the Plaintiff
                                  APRIL NICOLE RUSSO
16
     United States of America:
                                  KEVIN MULCAHY
                                  PHILIP A. ROSS
                                  LESLIE W. FISHER
17
                                  United States Attorney's Office
                                  211 W. Fort Street
18
                                  Suite 2001
19
                                  Detroit, Michigan 48226
                                  313-226-9129
20
                                 MARGARET S. RABEN
     For the Defendant
21
     D-1 Noel Eisley:
                                 Gurewitz & Raben
                                  333 W. Fort Street
22
                                  Suite 1400
                                  Detroit, Michigan 48226-6613
23
                                  313-628-4708
24
                                  (Appearances continued next page)
25
```

1	APPEARANCES: Continued
2	For the Defendant RICHARD D. KORN D-2 Terry Kovac: 645 Griswold
3	Suite 1717 Detroit, Michigan 48226
4	313-223-1000
5	For the Defendant MARK A. SATAWA D-4 Felipe Satawa Law, PLLC
6	Dominguez-Meija: 26777 Central Park Blvd. Suite 300
7	Southfield, Michigan 48076
8	For the Defendant CHARLES C. HAYES D-6 Eric James Robinson: Hayes Ruemmele LLC
9	141 East Washington Street Suite 225
10	Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	To obtain a certified copy of this transcript, contact:
24	Linda M. Cavanagh, CSR-0131, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC Official Court Reporter
25	(313) 234-2616 • www.transcriptorders.com

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	<u>Page</u>
3	VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS:
4	On Behalf of Minor Victim 1
5	On Behalf of Minor Victim 4
6	On Behalf of Minor Victim 8
7	On Behalf of Minor Victim 11
8	On Behalf of Minor Victim 21
9	On Behalf of Minor Victim 27
10	On Behalf of Minor Victim 50
11	On Behalf of Minor Victim 6647
	SENTENCING AS TO D-2 TERRY KOVAC:
12 13 14 15	Allocution by Mr. Korn
16	SENTENCING AS TO D-4 FELIPE DOMINGUEZ-MEIJA:
17 18 19	Allocution by Mr. Satawa
	SENTENCING AS TO D-6 ERIC JAMES ROBINSON:
20 21	Allocution by Mr. Hayes
22	Allocution by Ms. Russo
23	
24	
25	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		<u>Page</u>
3	OBJECTIONS TO THE PRE-SENTENCE REPORT AS TO DEFENDANT D-1 NOEL EISLEY:	
4 5	Objection 1:	
6	Comments by the Court	148
7	Objection 2:	
8	Comments by the Court	149 150
9	Objection 3:	
10 11 12	Comments by the Court. Comments by Ms. Raben. Further Comments by the Court. Comments by Ms. Russo. Further Comments by Ms. Raben. Further Comments by the Court.	151 152 153 154
13	Objections 4 and 5:	101
14	Comments by the Court	1 5 <i>/</i> I
15	SENTENCING AS TO D-1 NOEL EISLEY:	104
16 17 18	Allocution by Ms. Raben	166 167
19		
20	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
21	<u>Identification</u> <u>Offered</u> <u>Rec</u>	<u>ceived</u>
22	Government Exhibits A - F Victim Impact Statements	12
23		
24		
25		

```
Detroit, Michigan
 1
 2
               Tuesday, July 17, 2018
 3
               (Proceedings commenced at 9:27 a.m., all parties
 4
 5
              present)
              THE CLERK: Court now calls Case No. 17-20632, United
 6
 7
     States of America versus Noel Eisley, et al.
              Counsel, please state your appearances for the
 8
 9
     record.
              MS. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. April Russo
10
11
     and Kevin Mulcahy on behalf of the United States. Also with us
     is Leslie Fisher, trial attorney from Washington, D.C., here
12
     today, and Philip Ross also from our office, Your Honor.
13
14
              THE COURT: Good morning.
              MR. ROSS: Good morning, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. And we will start with
16
     D-1 and just go down the line.
17
18
              MS. RABEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Margaret Raben
     on behalf of D-1, Noel Eisley, who sits in front of me.
19
20
              THE COURT: Okay. Good.
21
              MR. KORN: Good morning, Your Honor. Richard Korn
     appearing on behalf of Terry Kovac who is present in the
22
23
     courtroom and is sitting in front of me.
              THE COURT: Okay.
24
25
              MR. SATAWA: Good morning, Your Honor. If it please
```

```
this Honorable Court, Mark Satawa on behalf of Felipe
 1
 2
     Dominguez-Meija.
              THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.
 3
              MR. PLOTKIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Sanford
 4
     Plotkin appearing with Bret Massey.
 5
                         Your Honor, good morning. Lisa Dwyer
 6
              MS. DWYER:
 7
     appearing on behalf of William Phillips.
 8
              MR. HAYES: Good morning, Your Honor. Charles Hayes
 9
     here on behalf of Eric Robinson.
              THE COURT: Okay. Everybody may be seated.
10
              And the record should reflect that all six defendants
11
     are in the courtroom, they're here with their counsel, and the
12
     counsel and the defendants are seated in the jury box given the
13
     fact that we have six defendants and only three seats at the
14
15
     counsel table.
16
              Need a quick minute. Let's be in recess for about
     two seconds.
17
18
               (Brief pause)
              THE COURT: Okay. Back in session. All right.
19
                                                                So I
     would ask the government to state how -- how you wish to
20
21
     proceed. It seems to me that we have six individual defendants
22
     and obviously I'm going to do individual sentencings. It would
23
     not seem appropriate to me to call Mr. Eisley's case and go
24
     through the usual sentence and hear from victims and then do
25
     that again with D-2, D-3 and D-4, et cetera because the victim
```

statements would seem to be cumulative.

It would also seem to me that forfeiture and things of that nature, which would apply across the board, should be addressed en masse, if you will, for purposes of efficiency.

And then it would seem to me that we would hear -have hearings on the objections to the Pre-Sentence Report, the
guideline computations and the allocution and sentencing on
each individual defendant.

But that's just my -- my thought. If you have a different thought, I'd be glad to entertain it, but if you don't, that's how I'd like to proceed.

MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, that is pretty much how the government had thought that this hearing would go. What we'd like to do is have all the victim impact statements, which are the same for each of these defendants, and then incorporate those statements into each of their individual sentencing hearings after the victims are all done making their statements, Your Honor, and we've read those statements that victims requested be read.

With respect to forfeiture, I agree that that's going to apply to each defendant. However, of course, each individual defendant has their own individual devices that have been forfeited and the U.S. --

THE COURT: But most -- sorry to interrupt. Most of that's been disposed of by agreement as I understand it, right?

```
I mean there's nobody fighting restitu -- or forfeiture, is there?
```

MR. ROSS: No, Your Honor, that is correct. The Court has already entered Preliminary Orders of Forfeiture for Eisley, Kovac, Massey, Dominguez-Meija and Robinson, and with the Court's permission, the government would like to provide to the Probation Department the appropriate language for inclusion in the judgments.

Also by agreement, Defendants Eisley, Kovac, Massey and Dominguez-Meija have agreed to a housekeeping order that will correct some of the property descriptions that were mistaken in the previous orders.

So that would conclude the forfeiture matters unless the Court has additional questions at this time on that.

THE COURT: I don't have any questions, but let me just summarize my understanding so we're clear. The United States counsel along with counsel for all six of the defendants have entered into stipulations as to a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture. That was presented to the Court and I've seen it in each case. Those will be amended in four cases to more specifically describe the property at issue without objection from the defendants. And then once sentencing occurs, we as a court will incorporate the forfeiture language from the Preliminary Order into the final Judgment and Commitment, and then forfeiture will be accomplished as to all six defendants

```
because all the property has been taken and disposed of,
 1
 2
     correct?
              MR. ROSS: I have no quibble with that, Your Honor,
 3
     except there is no forfeiture for D-6 so we don't need to go
 4
 5
     anything with D-6; it's just Defendants 1 through 5.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. So Mr. Robinson you
 6
     didn't forfeit anything and he has nothing to agree to and
 7
 8
     there's no order relevant to him, right?
 9
              MR. ROSS: I believe it's Ms. Dwyer's client who's
     Defendant Number 6.
10
              THE COURT: He's Number 5.
11
              MR. ROSS: Oh.
12
              THE COURT: That's William Phillips. That's all
13
     right.
14
15
              MR. ROSS:
                         Defendant -- that defendant, Defendant 5,
     did not forfeit any property.
16
              THE COURT: Okay.
17
              MR. ROSS: It would be Defendant 6. So --
18
              THE COURT: All right.
19
              MR. ROSS: -- cumulatively we have five defendants
20
21
     who forfeited property.
22
              THE COURT: Any defendant want to object to anything
23
     that I said or concluded as to forfeiture? No? It looks like
     everybody is satisfied.
24
25
              All right. Then we will accept the Preliminary Order
```

4

```
of Forfeiture, we will amend the five orders as necessary to
 1
     properly identify the property, we will go to sentencing, and
     without objection from counsel, we will enter Judgment and
 3
     Commitment Orders reflecting forfeiture of property that the
     United States seized as proceeds or instrumentalities of the
 5
     criminal activity in this case, correct?
 6
 7
              MR. ROSS:
                         That is correct, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you for
 9
     your hard work, defense lawyers and Mr. Ross, and thank you for
     taking care of forfeiture in such an efficient and
10
11
     straightforward manner, and we will move on from there.
                         Thank -- thank you, Your Honor. May at
12
              MR. ROSS:
     this time I be excused?
13
14
              THE COURT: Of course.
                         Thank you.
15
              MR. ROSS:
                          Yeah. Seems to me like your work is
16
              THE COURT:
     done.
            Thank you again, Mr. Ross.
17
              All righty. Ms. Russo, we have taken care of
18
     forfeiture and I think your next issue was victim impact, is
19
     that what I understand?
20
21
              MS. RUSSO: Yes, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Well, let me say a couple of things
23
     before we get started on that. I -- I -- I would like to say
     that obviously I'm working within the parameters of the Victim
24
     Witness Act of which we are all well familiar. It's my
25
```

obligation to entertain here and provide an opportunity for victims to address the Court.

I have received a number of materials already, all of which I've thoroughly reviewed. But the government filed a Sentencing Memorandum and the United States Attorney's Office dropped a courtesy copy to my office last — actually about two weeks ago, 48 pages of Sentencing Memorandum in — in each matter, largely the same but individualized as to each defendant.

I have, as you can all see I'm sure, a binder worth of exhibits. Exhibit A is the same as to all of the defendants and those are a photograph and a victim statement. There's a number of other statements starting with Exhibit A-1 and running through Exhibit MV-66 inclusive. Those would include photographic evidence, memoranda, exhibits and statements of 66 victims of the criminal activity in the case. Again, I am thoroughly familiar with -- with that. And I received a few things after this particular document was filed which would include some additional victim impact statements and materials of that sort from the -- from the United States Attorney.

So I guess the first question I'd ask Ms. Russo is whether or not I'm missing anything or if there's anything that you need to provide to the Court that I haven't received already.

MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, along with the exhibits that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
we e-mailed, the letters that we received from victims a little
bit later last week, we have a few additional statements that
were submitted. We've given copies to the defense of all of
those statements. It would be Exhibits A through F for
purposes of this sentencing hearing. Exhibit A and B that
you've already seen, Your Honor, but were e-mailed yesterday to
the Court.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
         MS. RUSSO:
                    And the other ones are new, Your Honor.
                    Okay. If you want to give those to Mr.
         THE COURT:
Parker, he will pass those up and I will review them when we
have an opportunity.
         With that in mind, I will receive Government's
Exhibit A through F for purposes of sentencing only.
         And I will also let the defendants know I've received
all their sentencing memoranda, but I'll get to those when we
do individualized sentencing later in the proceeding.
         For now, I just want to simply acknowledge receipt of
the government's sentencing memoranda -- memorandum --
memoranda as to all six defendants and -- and the victim
materials that have been discussed as well as A through F, and
that's what I have in front of me to this point as we consider
and receive victim impact testimony evidence and exhibits under
the -- under the act, right?
         MS. RUSSO: Yes, Your Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: All right. What else do I need to do
with regard to victim impact before we allow you to present
individualized testimony and things of that nature?
         MS. RUSSO: Nothing else, Your Honor. We can proceed
with calling the first individual who would like to make a
statement.
         THE COURT:
                    Okay. Very good. Anything else from the
defendants before we get started here? No? Okay.
         For purposes of housekeeping, what -- what's your
timing look like, what are -- what's your intent?
         MS. RUSSO: Um, Your Honor, we have approximately --
there are approximately a dozen parents and/or victims who are
here in the courtroom. Some of them are just here, they want
to be in attendance. They -- they want Your Honor to know that
they're here but they don't necessarily want to make a
statement. And then some of them do want to make statements.
Some of them are reading statements they've already submitted
and some of them just want to talk to you, Your Honor, off of
the cuff.
         And then there are a few of the statements that have
been submitted, the written statements, where the parent or the
minor could not be here in court and they've asked us to read
their statement. We are planning on reading very short
excerpts from those statements rather than read the whole
statement given that Your Honor already has a copy of it, but
```

```
we also do want to honor their wishes and read those statements
 1
     to Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. And I appreciate all
 3
     that and that's certainly responsive.
 4
              The thing that I would like to know that you didn't
 5
     touch on is -- it's 9:40. How long you think this process is
 6
 7
     going to take?
              MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, I think it will take about an
 8
 9
     hour.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. All right. Good. We'll set aside
10
11
     an hour til about 10:45, maybe 11:00 o'clock, we'll have a
     recess at that time. My understanding is that notwithstanding
12
     the fact that he's D-1, Ms. Raben wants some time with her
13
14
     client, so we'll go out of order and allow her and Mr. Eisley
     to meet outside of the courtroom, and around about 11:00
15
16
     o'clock we'll start sentencing presumably with Mr. Kovac, okay?
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
17
              MS. RUSSO:
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Go right ahead.
18
              MR. SATAWA: Your Honor?
19
20
              THE COURT:
                           Yes.
21
              MR. SATAWA: Before the government begins, you know
22
     what they say about assuming --
23
              THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you.
     You're going to have to speak up.
24
25
               THE COURT: Just speak up so Linda can get your...
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Judge, before the government begins, I
         MR. SATAWA:
don't want to assume, but Your Honor just said that Mr. Kovac
would go second. Based on the prior conversation I've had with
Ms. Russo, it's my understanding that I would go after that, so
second, Kovac going first, my client going second.
         I just want to confirm that, and the only reason I'm
asking, Your Honor, is because I have a 3:00 o'clock sentencing
in front of Judge Michelson in this building, and if we're
keeping to the government's expected time schedule, I don't
think that's going to be anywhere close to being a problem for
me, but if it was, I'd like to let Judge Michelson's courtroom
know.
         THE COURT: All right. We'll get -- we'll get -- you
go in the order that you want and we'll get -- we'll you out of
here on time, I -- I quarantee you that, Mr. Satawa.
         MR. SATAWA: Thank you, Your Honor.
                     Okay. Thank you. All right. Go --
         THE COURT:
go right ahead. That -- that means if I'm wrong about D-1, 2,
3, 4, 5, you just go in the order that you had agreed with the
lawyers, okay?
         MS. RUSSO: Yes, Your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                    All right. Go right ahead.
         MS. RUSSO:
                     Your Honor, we are going to call the
parent of MV-1. Specifically, we're going to call the father.
I'm on purpose not stating the name of these individuals to
```

```
protect them, Your Honor, and their privacy. So I'd like to
 1
 2
     call the father of MV-1 at this time.
              THE COURT: All right. Can you also direct me in
 3
     your book to where I ought to go. Is this Exhibit A-1?
 4
                          It is, Your Honor.
 5
              MS. RUSSO:
              THE COURT: All right. Okay.
                                              Thank you very much,
 6
 7
     Ms. Russo.
               Sir, you can identify yourself, but obviously if you
 8
 9
     don't want to you don't have to, but we're happy to hear what
10
     you have to say.
11
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I will decline to identify myself
     except I am the father of MV-1.
12
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                   This case concerns my daughter,
15
     MV-1, who was a victim of sexual exploitation on the Internet.
     At the time of those events I was unaware of what was taking
16
     place but I did observe that my daughter was exhibiting a
17
18
     number of aberrant behaviors: extreme inexplicable panic
     attacks, prolonged unconscious trance states, chronic insomnia,
19
20
     chronic violent nightmares and extreme black hole suicidal
21
     depressions.
22
              Once these crimes were brought to my attention and my
23
     daughter and I were able to talk about them together, I found
     that these aberrant behaviors discontinued. It's been quite
24
25
     obvious that these crimes had a severe negative psychological
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
impact on my daughter which was significantly destabilizing for
her and her ability to function in her life, in her school and
in her relationships.
         My daughter and I are both very grateful that the
perpetrators of these crimes are being brought to justice so
that they will be able to cause no further harm.
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you very much.
         MS. RUSSO: And if we could call now the mother of
MV-1.
         THE COURT:
                    Good morning.
         THE WITNESS: Good morning.
         THE COURT: Go right ahead.
         THE WITNESS: It is very difficult to recount and in
a sense relive what MV-1 has gone through as a result of the
abuse she has suffered under the assailants' hands.
innocence was taken from her and her self-esteem and ability to
trust others was severely damaged. As a result, she had great
difficulty performing in school, and her relationships with her
peers and her family members suffered as she withdrew into a
dark, closed world of shame and self-hatred.
         I am very grateful for that cold January day when the
FBI officer knocked on our door for from that moment on what
was dark and secret was brought out into the light and we began
a long climb toward healing, facilitated by love, patience and
many years of counseling.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Although MV-1's innocence can never be restored, a
hard sentence for these men would go a long way toward a sense
of justice and vindication. I hope that the perpetrators
receive the maximum sentence of jail time as well as hefty
fines enough to assist all of the victims with the cost of
whatever treatment they needed to recover from this brutality.
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you very much for those words as
well.
         MS. RUSSO: Your Honor?
         THE COURT:
                     Yes.
         MS. RUSSO: We call MV-1 at this time.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
         (Brief pause)
         MS. RUSSO:
                     Your Honor, MV-1 would like me to read
                She does not want to come up here at this time.
her statement.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay. Go right ahead.
                     "In many ways it is hard for me to write
         MS. RUSSO:
this and it is hard for me to remember a lot of details
surrounding what happened a few years ago. Though I feel sick
and broken and very ill at the thought that I was convinced to
participate in the events of those perverted chat sites, I
don't know how these inhuman individuals parted these ideas
into my head: how being exposed is such a good thing; how
experimenting online sexually is the best thing to do; how you
don't have to worry about these predators online. Yes, they
```

```
said this to me and how nothing I do is wrong.
```

"I am humiliated that I thought these thoughts were the right thoughts to think. I am devastated that I am possibly now exposed in the flesh online. I am mortified that I cannot do anything but write about my experiences with these disgusting predators. All I can feel is stupid for all the things I have done with these inhuman beings.

"For me now, my life is in shambles. Ever since these encounters with these predators, I have never felt the same. My work in school has lost its quality, my life at home felt like hell from day to day in high school, my friends turned into foes, and I lost my spirit to live a happy life.

"I am only just now starting to realize my horrible mistakes so long ago and only now am I starting to heal from these memories. I hope that these animals get what they so rightfully deserve: an entire lifetime inside a cage with no hope for release. MV-1."

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to read an excerpt from the mother of MV-4's statement. Both the mother and father of MV-4 wanted these statements submitted and wanted these excerpts read, Your Honor. However, they felt that this incident was too traumatizing for them to come to court today.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RUSSO: The mother of MV-1 writes -- or MV-4 writes, "To say that the evil you brought into our lives nearly destroyed us would be an understatement. We thought we had done everything to protect our children, to give them the childhood that would lay a foundation that would prepare them to navigate a world that is so potentially dangerous and evil; to daily instill in them a value and worth that he -- that we had hoped would be evil-proof, at least while they were under our roof; to create in them a heart and desire to bring love and hope into a hurting world, to be part of the solution.

"But here we sit under the new reality that you introduced into our home, our little world, our precious family. I am so disheartened that you were obviously so wounded and decrepit in your soul that you purposed your days and nights for the trapping and destruction of the innocent. What kind of man does this? You designed and executed such a plan of evil and soul-crushing consequences that if we did not have a greater hope than what the world has to offer, we would be crushed.

"But we are not. We are a family of hope. We are in the midst of God's great love and he is faithful. What you designed for our daughter's destruction God has redeemed for her good. You may have stolen a sacred piece of us that we will tragically never have back, but you will not have another day of my daughter's or ours. I as a mother am counting down

the days til fear does not have another moment of our lives.

"You deserve no mercy from the courts. You are sadly part of a brood of vipers that is hunting our children behind a screen, and I am praying that the Lord leads the justice system to make such an example of you that you will fall to your knees and beg for mercy."

And for the father of MV-4 he also wrote a statement. He writes, "People like this need to be stopped since they are preying on helpless children that have not matured and do not know who can be trusted in their lives. I can only express and communicate my requests that these men be put away for a very long time.

"Unfortunately my daughter and wife will need to cope with the effects from this event as well as embarrassment for the future. They will both need to learn how to accept this and work together to get past this event in our lives when in reality this should never have happened."

And, Your Honor, there is a statement from the mother of MV-5 and she writes, "That grown adults choose to spend their God-given life destroying children's lives, sense of well-being and manipulating their innocent growth into adulthood is repulsive. I remain in disgust of their heinous actions and what they have taken from my child that can never be replaced.

"The Internet continues to be a very complicated yet

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
easily accessible tool that allows criminals into our private
       Each group of manipulative cretins perpetuating pain,
loathing and offensive behavior that can be brought to justice
is hope that some day kids of the future will not be
susceptible to these outrageous actions."
         And then, Your Honor, a statement from the mother of
      If you recall, MV-8 is discussed quite a bit in the
MV-8.
government's sentencing memos and MV-8 we have talked about,
and also, Your Honor, this is the victim that these defendants
knew was having some suicidal tendencies and yet continued to
prey on her anyway as well as prey on her younger sister, Your
Honor.
         THE COURT: All right. Brief interruption.
         MS. RUSSO:
                    Yes.
         THE COURT: You -- you mentioned that MV-4's parents
were not here, and I just, for matters of completeness, if --
if you'd make a similar showing on MV-5 and M -- MV-8 and --
and the future statements that you read, we'd appreciate that.
         MS. RUSSO: Absolutely, Your Honor. MV-5's mom is
not here today, Your Honor. MV-8's mother is not here but
MV-8's father is here and he does wish to address the Court.
         THE COURT: Okay. So you are in excerpts of
Exhibit 8, which is the mother of MV-8 and another victim.
                                                            Go
right ahead.
         MS. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. The mother of
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MV-8 writes, "After all of this, my oldest spent a long time
crying every night and it created more stress and depression.
Her amount of sleep declined. We had to find a counselor who
could help our family work through this. We now see this
counselor regularly.
         "My youngest has retreated and is more quiet now.
She used to be happy every day and is now more pessimistic.
She goes to therapy sessions as well.
         "As their mom, I feel defeat, embarrassment and
        I feel I failed my girls. But I also feel angry
because it is these men's fault for luring these girls in,
lying to them, making them do things that they should never
have even thought of.
         "I worry about what it has done to their psyche.
Will these pictures and videos show up again in life? Will it
affect them getting a job? Will it impact their future
relationships? I worry about them every day. There isn't a
day that I don't think about what this has done to them and how
I have to be strong for them and work on trying to build their
confidence back up. I worry about trust issues with males.
         "They have changed our lives forever where we won't
be the same. Will they feel this for the rest of their lives?
I will worry that they will do this to other sweet, innocent
girls.
         "We need closure for our family so we can heal.
                                                          Ι
```

```
beg you to give the maximum sentence possible to keep others
 1
 2
     safe."
              And, Your Honor, with that, the father of MV-8 would
 3
     like to come forward and make a statement.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Good morning to you.
              THE WITNESS: Good morning, Judge Murphy. It's a
 6
     tough day.
 7
 8
               THE COURT: There's Kleenex there if you'd like. And
 9
     I'd -- I'd urge you to take your time, okay?
               THE WITNESS: I can just say that I'm finally and
10
11
     forever affected by this. As a parent, you know, you do
12
     everything that you possibly can. I've always enjoyed trying
     to teach my girls to live their lives the right way. You know,
13
     all the sacrifice and the hopes that you have for them and for
14
15
     it to be just taken away, it's so hard.
16
              My wife couldn't be here because of the anguish.
                                                                 Ι
     felt like I needed to be, but pretty hard. We hope that you'll
17
18
     do everything you can so that this doesn't happy to others.
19
              Thank you.
20
              THE COURT: Thank you very much.
21
              MR. MULCAHY: Your Honor, next, one of the exhibits
22
     that Ms. Russo handed up at the beginning of our hearing today,
     it's marked as Government Exhibit F as in Frank, so it's one of
23
24
     these separate ones.
25
               THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
```

MR. MULCAHY: As a quick introduction, this is for Minor Victim 11 whose mother wrote this letter and whose father I've spoke with on the phone on several occasions or traded e-mails with as well. Neither the father, the mother nor MV-11 could be here. She submitted this late last night, and when I spoke with her, she'd indicated that she was having her husband sort of shield her from the goings on in this -- in this courthouse, and -- but hoping for a last-minute effort to -- to speak to the Court, she offered this statement late last night, and I will read just an excerpt from it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MULCAHY: "My daughter was a bright-eyed, happy, engaging honor student. She enjoyed running, arts and crafts and interacting with her friends and family. Because these deranged individuals lured my daughter on the Internet with their lewd behavior, she became reclusive, sad, depressed, angry and literally a shell of herself. She spent weekends locked in her room refusing to come down and eat, and this was completely out of character for her.

"In our wildest dreams we would never think that grown man -- grown men lured her into teen chat rooms and were calling her names such as flat-chested or baby or stuck up or nerd because she would not send naked photos of herself. This constant daily verbal bullying led to an acute depressive episode."

What follows, Your Honor, is a series of discussions about the costs, including a months' long commitment into a wilderness program in Utah. "We did not" -- and as a result of that, Your Honor, she writes, "We did not see her for her 16th birthday, for Christmas or for Thanksgiving due to her intensive treatment programs. Prior to this Internet exploitation and bullying, she was -- she had no history of mental illness.

"This exploitation has left a major impact on my daughter, her two siblings, my marriage and our family. She has missed an entire year of school and has been in treatment with -- which has cost us over \$100,000, exhausting all of our savings. She is still undergoing weekly treatment by a psychiatrist and psychologist to rebuild her self-worth, her self-esteem and her confidence through therapy and medications. She no longer has the same smile, bright eyes or sense of childlike wonder or joy. Each day is a challenge as she continues to struggle."

And, Your Honor, what follows are drawings that MV-11 herself made. The first I believe is a self-portrait, and the second, Your Honor, is a -- is a drawing that I know is difficult for the Court to read the sort of top of the page. There are certain words written. These are the words that she was called on the Internet by the men in this courtroom, including some of the words I think Your Honor can read.

```
Mm-hmm. These were drawn after the
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
     therapy started or --
              MR. MULCAHY: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: -- as part of the therapy or...
 4
 5
              MR. MULCAHY: Exactly right, as part of the therapy.
                          All right. Okay. I read the entire
 6
              THE COURT:
 7
     letter as you were speaking so...
 8
              MR. MULCAHY: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
              MR. MULCAHY: Your Honor, next the government would
10
     ask the mother of MV-10 to come forward.
11
12
              THE WITNESS: Good morning.
13
              THE COURT: Good morning.
14
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you. I'm the parent of MV-10,
     and she's not here with me today because she forbid -- I -- I
15
16
     brought this up. She's now 16 years old, but I brought this up
     almost a year ago, about eight months ago, that she might be
17
18
     able to come, and she absolutely doesn't want to talk about it
     and she forbade me from coming and she forbade her father from
19
     coming, but because she's at camp and I felt it was very
20
21
     important for us as a family for me to at least see and talk
22
     about what has happened.
23
              She started eighth grade as a normal girl.
     smart and goofy and confident and she played quitar and went to
24
25
     Girl Scouts. She was even writing her own songs and playing
```

them.

And in eighth grade she started getting migraine headaches. Halfway -- about halfway through she was getting migraine headaches. She started missing a lot of school because of her headaches. She quit guitar. She quit Girl Scouts. She was getting angry. She was hard to deal with. I knew teenage girls could be difficult, but I also knew that something was wrong with the scale of what we were experiencing.

She got very private over the summer, she got very moody, she started getting very obsessive, making sure everything had to be just so, and I really didn't know what was going on. At the end of that summer she decided she wanted to live with just me instead of alternating between her father's house and mine and she was considerably more needy than she had been.

She started high school and she was in all honors courses, and in her first semester she missed 15 days of school for migraines. Because of that, she failed three courses and she also thought she was stupid. But her teacher said don't take her out of the honors classes; it's not her ability, she just needs to be here more. But her confidence was gone. Her second semester she continued in those courses and she had to take two online recovery courses for the credits she had missed. But — but her confidence was just gone.

It was in March of her freshman year that I got the call from the local FBI office and we learned of what happened. It caused a huge struggle in our family.

My daughter doesn't know how to process these things. She still won't talk about it. She wouldn't talk about it that day. She reacted with anger. She was embarrassed. She was scared. And as someone she loves, I was the one who bore the brunt of all this and really doesn't -- didn't know what to do.

She'd been very sure it was private. She thought she was talking with teenagers. She swore they were lying to her at the FBI. But she wouldn't talk about any of it and continued to be very defiant and difficult to live with.

Her sister moved home from college and didn't really know how to, you know, engage with someone who was going through all these -- all these things. So, you know, we didn't give her a lot of details either. So her relationship with her sister is not real good right now. It hasn't been since that, you know, time of her -- well over a year ago. They just had screaming fights. They have not been on good terms.

When her sophomore year started, she started having bouts of dizziness, nausea and occasional vomiting. We've had her tested in various ways. She spent a day in the hospital, she got an MRI. They — they can't find what's wrong. She has vertigo. She vomits a few times a week. They — they can't find any solutions. They've indicated that it's probably

stress, but she was refusing to go to therapy until just recently when she's had one appointment.

So all this, you know, she'd gone through a couple of years of stress by this time. And she now keeps calendars, she tracks, she organizes. She can't stand anything going wrong out of her plan for her day. She obsesses about her nails, her hair, her skin, you know, whether or not she's going to be sick and miss her next babysitting job, you know, all the normal things that a kid would do. She's just got too much going on in her head to — to really function well.

But in her sophomore year she did learn how to manage her headaches, and despite missing ten days of school each semester, she still got all As and Bs in her honors classes. So she's a kid who wants more and wants to go forward in life, but she comes to me every week crying, recalculating her GPA because she says, "If I don't get a 90 on this test, I'll lose a chance to get into any college I want to go to." You know, she — she's calculating her GPA out a couple of years ahead because she knows she messed up her freshman year so badly due to all the stress that she was under.

Her physical health, her mental health, her family relationships, her creativity and her grade point average, you know, have all been damaged by this, and -- and I don't know how long it'll last or whether she will be able to talk about it or share it. She doesn't want to speak to anyone to this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
time, but I'm -- I'm hoping as we go forward she can heal.
                                                            But
I'm very, very upset about what was taken from us.
         THE COURT:
                     She's going to be a senior in the fall?
         THE WITNESS:
                       Junior.
         THE COURT: Junior. Okay.
         THE WITNESS:
                       Yeah.
         THE COURT: Thank you.
         THE WITNESS: Thank you.
         MS. RUSSO:
                     Your Honor, I'm going to read a short
excerpt from the father of MV-16. MV-16, Your Honor, resides
in the Eastern District of Michigan. However, her father could
not be here because he was afraid of how traumatized he was by
this and -- and seeing these individuals in person.
         That said, he's been in constant contact with us,
even calling last night to ask me if I'll tell him as soon as
the sentencing is over what the sentences were.
         He writes, "It's increasingly difficult to describe
my feelings. I've been angry, sad and disgusted.
paranoid to allow my now adult daughter to do anything without
supervision.
         "I absolutely refuse to be in the same room as these
people.
        My daughter refuses to be in the same room as these
people.
         "We are respectfully requesting that a maximum
sentence be granted for all victims involved."
```

```
And, Your Honor, with that, we would like to call the
 1
     parent of MV-21 at this time.
 2
              THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
 4
              THE WITNESS: My name's Jeremy and my daughter's a
 5
     victim in this case.
 6
               I'm just beginning to understand the gravity of harm
 7
     that has been caused by these defendants. My daughter and my
 8
 9
     niece were both victims. Their relationship as well as the
     dynamics of our family has been forever changed.
                                                        They were the
10
11
     best of friends and now they rarely see one another.
     bond, their relationship, our family I believe forever has been
12
     altered.
13
14
               Your tears can't take that back. Nothing that you
     say can take that back. I see no remorse, none. And even if
15
16
     there was any, you can't take that back. You can't give back
17
     what you've taken from those little girls.
18
               I'm sure many others also have struggled to find the
     answers to mend our broken children and then to find a way to
19
     help them heal. I've taken my daughter to counseling, which is
20
21
     just starting to help.
22
              And I hope, Your Honor, that you can start to see
23
     that while these vultures, these precise, practiced, planned
     and skilled predators, to give all their excuses to find
24
25
     sympathy and to gain leniency, I assure you they can say
```

```
nothing, nothing that can repair or take away or mend the innocence and the childhood that they have taken from my family and many, many others, including their own, including their own.
```

As a judge, I don't believe that it's possible for you to totally get back some of the things that they've taken away either, but I do humbly ask you to give these victims the most that you can give them, which is the maximum justice that you can give these guys. Justice to my -- my daughter, I'm sorry. The maximum sentence to these guys is the maximum justice is that you can give to these children. The videos, the pictures, we, I, Your Honor, we can't get it back. They're out there. You can't get them back. You can't fix it. I can't fix it.

I would ask you not be swayed, Your Honor, please, with their pleadings, just as they pled with our daughters and their victims, deceiving them, but I beg of you to level the maximum sentence possible and do your very best to give our brave victims, my little girl justice, closure, and by doing so, maybe we can begin to heal and regain faith in this world in which we live.

I want to thank the men and women that were stepped in to protect our children. They've done their part. Our families, our daughters, we're here to do ours, and I ask that this Court, this most Honorable Court of this great country

```
finish it for our children.
 1
 2
              THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
              MR. MULCAHY: Your Honor, at this time I'd ask MV-21
 3
     to step forward.
 4
               (Brief pause)
 5
               Your Honor, the moment is big her for her so she has
 6
     handed me a note and I will read it on her behalf with the
 7
 8
     Court's permission.
 9
              THE COURT: Yep, go right ahead.
              MR. MULCAHY: "At the time of all of this I was a
10
11
     naive teenage girl, and my parents taught me that most people
12
     are good so I trusted these men that I thought were my age. I
     felt comfortable because I was not the only girl. They talked
13
14
     us into doing -- they talked us into doing these horrible
15
     things. No older man should want a teenager to undress herself
     for them, but we thought we were talking to someone our age.
16
     Yes, we made a bad choice, but if the FBI would not have showed
17
18
     up, us girls would be gone wherever these people wanted us to
          These men knew better.
19
     be.
               "When the FBI showed up and told me these people are
20
     not who they said they were, I lost my trust in many people
21
22
     and people lost trust in me. My relationships with my family
23
     have changed and sometimes I feel like people are watching me.
     It is not okay for me or any of these girls to feel this way.
24
25
               "We should not have to be here today. We should be
```

```
hanging out with our friends. We are teenage girls. We are going to make mistakes. Just don't let this be possible for other teenage girls like us."

Next, Your Honor, I'd like to read the victim impact statement from Minor Victim Number 24. She is unable to be here today as well. And she writes, "After becoming aware that I was taken advantage of by adult men, it made me feel uncomfortable around my parents and it was tortuous being in my own skin. Because of this, it makes me unable and unwilling to trust anyone anymore.

"In the beginning I was led to believe that these are male individuals that I was communicating with who claimed to
```

male individuals that I was communicating with who claimed to be the same age as me. They were fun and gained my trust over time. Within a few weeks they asked me to expose myself and made me feel uncomfortable. The day after it happened, I noticed that the teen has asked other girls to do much worse. I then left the website and never came back. The impact this incident had on me made me feel violated, betrayed and have a loss of self-worth."

Your Honor, next, Minor Victim 27's mother, father and sisters co-wrote a letter that I will read a portion of.

Minor Victim 27 could not be here, neither could her parents or her sisters.

"My wife and I get sick to our stomach when we think about the defendants, how the defendants used the Internet to

```
physically abuse our daughter. She is a sweet, innocent teenager in high school just trying to find someone she can be friends with. Her Catholic high school teachers were extremely caring as she was missing class and grades falling. They supported her and they kept her in school.

"But she stopped giving me hugs in the morning. Her weight dropped dramatically even though she was just skin and bones to begin with. She was five foot six and just 110 pounds.

"So many questions about how I could have been a
```

"So many questions about how I could have been a better father, how I could have protected her better against the defendants' Internet -- the Internet child predators, how the cruel event may come back to haunt her in later years or if the same people are able to contact her using their knowledge of her to manipulate her again with other photos and texts not uncovered by the FBI I assume and others like them. We are not certain that all of the evidence has been recovered. We are not sure how much the cruel events hurt her recovery but we know that it has caused her great harm.

"Please, please keep these defendants from contacting any other children in any way and put them in custody for as long as possible."

Judge, I'm going to read -- lastly, I'm going to read excerpts from a letter written by the mother and father of Minor Victim 56 who could -- neither the mother, the father nor

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
the victim could be here today.
         "This has come as a terrible shock to my wife and I
and has caused heartache and stress to our lives and our
relationship. We are very concerned about our daughter's
mental health. We now question her development through a
different lens. We worry that her exposure and involvement in
this child pornography ring will ruin her chances of normal
sexual development.
         "We cannot share what happened with anyone else in
our extended and very close family. It has become our dark
secret that is not spoken about other than between the three of
    This does not feel right and our family should not have to
us.
deal with these kinds of emotions.
         "I trust that you will take this into account when
you are sentencing these awful criminals. They have exposed
their sick perversions in front of very innocent children and
caused irreparable harm."
         Your Honor, next we'd ask the family of MV-35 and
MV-35 to step forward.
         THE WITNESS: Okay. So sorry if I stutter or
anything. I'm not that --
         THE COURT: Just take your time.
         THE WITNESS: As a typical teenager, all I wanted
really was attention. I wanted people to like me. I had a
friend that introduced me to the Internet. I started making a
```

```
bunch of online friends more than I had in the day-to-day real life. The Internet was my escape from depression that I didn't know I had at the time.
```

My friend made videos that looked real but really weren't, but her videos got lots of reviews and responses so I decided I would start making videos. The videos were not provocative whatsoever.

After being on the website for so long, I started getting messages to join chat rooms. After a while of getting the requests, I decided I would accept one. This is where the problems began. I was the only one on the webcam and there was just chat underneath me. It felt normal at first, and then I started to feel -- I started feeling strange because I was looking at myself with a bunch of words underneath me. I brushed it off and continued because I enjoyed having friends to talk to every day. They were always there no matter what time of day.

After a while of them gaining my trust and getting to know them, I started being flirtatious with them. They seemed to enjoy it and I liked the attention they were giving me so I continued to do so. I teased them and one of them recorded the video.

Then from then on, I was blackmailed. They blackmailed me by threatening to come to my house and hurt my family and I -- they named everyone that lived in my house so I

```
knew that these threats were serious. I never told anyone
 1
     about it because I thought I could fix it myself.
 2
              They would tell me to take off my clothes and touch
 3
     myself in sexual ways so I tried to accommodate to their
 4
     desires. Every time I would do so they would record me and
 5
     blackmail me over and over again, which turned into an
 6
 7
     intimidating and vicious cycle.
 8
               I felt like -- I felt like I was being watched 24-7
 9
     and this started to take a turn on my daily life. I started
     hurting myself and I was in and out of the hospital for
10
11
     self-harm and attempt of multiple -- I was attempt from --
     attempted suicide.
12
               I had enough of being harassed and manipulated that I
13
14
     ended up never wanting to touch a computer again.
                                                         The tragic
     pain this has caused me still today eats my soul. Even though
15
16
     I still never touch a computer to this day, I still live in
     fear that they would find a way to hurt my family. Okay.
17
18
              THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
              MS. RUSSO: And, Your Honor, the mom of MV-35 would
19
20
     also like to speak.
21
               THE WITNESS: Thank you for the opportunity to speak.
              THE COURT: Of course.
22
23
              THE WITNESS:
                            This has affected us.
                          Take your time. That's okay.
24
              MS. RUSSO:
25
               THE WITNESS:
                             I have a very, very close relationship
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
with my daughter. We're -- we've always been pretty much
inseparable. This has been a shock, and the bits and pieces
have been coming out of her slowly for months and so many
things have begun to make sense. In high school, as she just
said, she just stopped using her laptop and refused to touch it
and to this day doesn't, won't -- won't touch one. I've gotten
into so many arguments with her over it because it didn't make
       I have a store that I opened with the intent for her to
sense.
take over one day, and she can't manage it because she won't
touch a computer. So I can't tell you the quilt that I have
for the arguments over this. And knowing now what I know, I --
I don't know -- I don't know how we're going to proceed after
this. I don't want her to have to touch a computer now.
That's hard in this day and age.
         She almost didn't graduate over this. The school
didn't let us know until the week of. And come to find out,
she had done all of the work. She just didn't -- you know, it
had to be on the computer. So she had six credits worth of
high school work that weren't submitted and she almost didn't
graduate over that. The school allowed us one extra day and I
went to school with her. I even put on her uniform that
morning as a joke, you know. I had no idea why she -- none of
it made sense.
         I didn't let them go out very much, my kids, because
we lived in the city and I thought that they were going to be
```

```
safe in my home. This happened in our home.
 1
 2
               They may be caught now but they've gained something
     that I don't know how to get back for her. They took her
 3
     security and they robbed her of being able to be a child. Even
 4
     though they never touched her physically, she was still raped
 5
     by them, and she was not the only one.
 6
 7
               The sentencing can never equal the pain that they've
     caused my daughter and so many countless children that they
 8
 9
     interacted with, but we are relieved that they are caught now
     and that my daughter and so many others' daughters will be safe
10
11
     from them whatever you sentence them.
               Thank you.
12
13
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
               MS. RUSSO:
                           Your Honor, MV-41 and her mother also
14
     submitted some statements. Those are in the new exhibits.
15
16
     We're not going to read an excerpt from those but I just wanted
     to point that out to Your Honor.
17
18
               And then right now we're going to have MV --
                          Hold on a minute.
19
               THE COURT:
20
               MS. RUSSO:
                          Oh, I'm sorry.
21
               THE COURT:
                          I have 41 with a -- tab 41 has only a
22
     photo.
23
               MS. RUSSO:
                           Yes, Your Honor.
                           So where -- where should I look for --
               THE COURT:
                           These would be ones that we submitted
25
               MS. RUSSO:
```

```
today, Your Honor, the ones that we passed forward.
 1
                                                          They were
     submitted to us last night by --
 2
              THE COURT: What number? Oh, here it is, E -- E-1
 3
     and E-2?
 4
                          It is, Your Honor, that's correct.
 5
              MS. RUSSO:
                          Okay. Okay. Go right ahead.
 6
              THE COURT:
              MS. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
              We are going to at this time move on to MV-50, Your
 8
 9
     Honor.
             Her mother is here.
              THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: Good morning. Okay. Go right ahead.
              THE WITNESS: Today as I stand in this room, I stand
12
     as a woman, an ex-wife and a single parent, but most
13
14
     importantly, I am a mother.
               I'm here to speak to six men who loved to look upon
15
16
     young girls, watch young teenagers, probably mostly girls,
     whether in person, in picture or in videos. How dare you,
17
18
     especially any of you who have children, especially any of you
     who have children who think you're a good father? To take the
19
     mistakes that these children made for your pleasure, just who
20
21
     do you think you are? What goes through your minds? Have you
22
     ever asked yourself, "Self, does this get me off more than an
     adult woman?"
23
              My daughter was one of these teenagers you watched
24
25
     and looked at. She was raised by me, a single mother who has
```

had medical problems since before she was even thought of.

As a small child she never really had a father who honestly loved her, tried to teach her what she wanted -- excuse me, tried to teach her what to watch for when boys start noticing -- taking notice of her or even how to love or to teach her what love really is or how to love herself.

Susan, my daughter, was a child whose father bought her love but left me to try to raise her as both parents. Do you know how hard that is? Do you know exactly how hard it is to do what you -- to do -- excuse me, I'm so sorry. But left me to try to raise her as both parents. Do you know exactly how hard that is? Do you know how unfair it is to my child? To any child? Guess not.

However, as I said, she was an underage, stupid kid who did not know any better. She didn't know it was illegal to send, quote-unquote, nude photos. Once I found out, I tried to explain to her how dangerous it was because of men like you.

I honestly don't know how bad it was until I saw cut marks on her body, her wearing long sleeves and pants during the summertime, when she tried to run away and I had to have her placed in treatment programs for emotional and suicidal thoughts. But I also didn't know was that she was having homicidal thoughts towards me, her mother, the only person who always was in her corner.

Little did I know the extent of her mental, emotional

and physical side until she told me that her first boyfriend abused her in every way and she never told me.

She has always had low self-esteem, never felt like she was pretty, but most of all not worthy of any goodness. If anyone pays her a compliment, she just brushes it away. Now any guy she might want to talk -- excuse me, try to date thinks it's okay to treat her how they -- try to treat her how they, quote-unquote, "heard, saw or seen," unquote, about her. If she is friends with a guy, after a while it starts all over again.

As I said before, she would cut to realize she still felt things. She is now proudly one and three-fourth years clean this past Sunday from not cutting.

Her depression, anxiety, replays of the past has come back. She is so bad that her body is covered with hives from head to toe. That's why she could not be here. Nothing of over-the-counter medication nor prescription has cleared or calmed her skin. Susan has been diagnosed with ADHD, ODD, OCD and has a co-dependency to me more than any -- more than she was when she was a small child.

My children were raised very modestly. If their jeans hugged their butts, they didn't wear them. If their shirts, swimsuit were too tight, nope, sorry, they didn't wear them. Now that they are both over the age of 18 and as long as they do not live underneath my roof, they can wear, do whatever

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
they want. Susan still lives with me and will not walk out
looking, quote-unquote, like a hoochie mama or in Daisy Dukes.
         Since she started ninth grade, having all of this
crap started, putting up with her being a teen/minor and
hanging around people who influenced her badly, we've had our
ups and downs. I never imagined I would ever have Susan
arrested for hitting me while she was 15. Five months after
that I had her hospitalized.
         As a parent, I went to the police when pictures were
on Facebook, but because of the city limits of where I live,
they did nothing.
         I did everything I knew how to do. In our counseling
sessions at home we, her sister and I, tried to explain to her
what could happen. She accepts her responsibility. The
boyfriends in which she's only had two were probably part of
this, I don't know.
         But the two of you who have children or any of you
who have children, do you feel weird or do you look at them as
you did our children? How would you feel if it was your
children men were looking at? I bet you'd want to beat the
snot out of them and then some.
         As parents we try to do our best, raise our children
```

with love, respect and try to teach them to accept responsibility for their actions. The law says as parents we are responsible for our children's actions. You betcha we are.

The six of you sitting here had better understand that pleading guilty, listening to all of us parents give you the, quote-unquote, what-for as my mom used to say, guess what, guys? You're part of the responsibility.

When you get to prison, I -- people like you better damn well -- damn well watch yourselves. You're going to be known even before you get your foot in the cell. Sexual predators are the ones who are in a gang all of their own. The men in prison, no matter what they did, hate any man, any men who are like you who exploit children. They will make you, quote-unquote, their bitch, and you better not drop the soap.

I prayed about this situation that my daughter put herself in. Just as you know, just so you know, she graduated high school with everything going on. She walked proudly across the stand, accepted her diploma, hugged her principals and came and hugged me. She's a beautiful young woman now. She's always going to have to live her life knowing what she did and it's going to make her — it's going to make her a stronger person.

For taking more of my child's self-esteem, self-confidence, self-worth, self-respect, 20 years is not long enough. Being registered sex offenders is a start. If you are sentenced to 40 years, you could be out in 20 with good behavior. If you're offered any classes while in prison, especially sex offender classes or for pedophiles, take them,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
learn from them, learn what you did to our children and why we
as parents look at you in disgust. We don't want to but that's
the only way you've given us.
         But one thing I do want to know is when you look at
your children, the men who have -- who have them, what do you
tell them? How do you explain what you did, why it's okay for
you to do that to other children?
         Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you very much.
         MR. MULCAHY: Your Honor, I have one final minor
victim who wants to be heard. She could not be today but she
wrote a letter to the Court. She is Minor Victim 66. She just
recently turned 18.
         And in part she writes, "I would have anxiety attacks
during school, and my depression was so bad I would stay home a
     I had turned to online chat groups because no one knew me
and I could just be myself. Looking back now, I feel violated
and completely taken advantage of. I was naive and admit that
I could have been smarter with my actions, but that's coming
from the older me, not from when I was a child.
         "It makes me sick to my stomach knowing that these
men took pictures and took advantage of me. At first when I
found everything out, I didn't know how to feel or react so I
stood tall because it hadn't sunk in about what had happened.
```

A week later I became more self-conscious and all I could think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
about was this case and my pictures. It has been tearing me to
pieces just wanting to know who's seen them and how much have
they been spread around. I've been just disquited with myself
and haven't been motivated to do anything. I'm learning to
cope because life has to go on.
         "I have a job now that I've held down for 11 months
and I'm loving every minute of it. I graduated in 2017 and
have been working full time because I decided college wasn't
for me and I would rather be working with horses one on one all
the time. Working at my job now and with my horses are more
forms of therapy, and I've been writing everything down to help
cope. I have to move on but this case will be on my mind until
the day I die."
         Your Honor, those are the entirety of the victim
statements and presentations that we have for the Court. Thank
you.
         THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
         All right. Well, the government suggested a dozen
presentations and an hour of length and that's essentially what
we've done.
         I don't really know what else to -- to say right now.
I guess I should ask -- I think I've been over this but I don't
want -- I don't want to make any process mistakes.
                                                   We've had a
number of individuals representative in nature. As I
mentioned, I've looked at the entire sentencing memorandum and
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
all the victims' statements, which come up to about 66-plus,
and then beyond -- and then A through F today, two of which I
read, E and F, while the government lawyers were speaking.
Just want to make sure no other victims, no other statements,
testimony, anything that I'm missing at this time?
         MR. MULCAHY: No, Your Honor, that's the entirety.
         THE COURT: Okay. All right. It's 10:40. Let's
take a less than 10-minute break. I think the defendants can
stay here. We'll get back at 10:50 and we'll begin sentencing
with Mr. Satawa's client, correct?
         MR. MULCAHY: No, Your Honor, with Mr. Kovac, which
is Mr. Korn's client.
         THE COURT: Okay.
         MR. MULCAHY: Who would be Defendant Number 1.
         THE COURT: Okay. How do you want to handle Mr.
Eisley and Ms. Raben? What -- what --
         MR. MULCAHY: Ms. Raben?
         MS. RABEN: Your Honor, what I had thought might
happen was if the marshals can take Mr. Eisley downstairs so
that I can --
         THE COURT: I don't know if they can split things up
like that. Are you able to get a --
         MS. RABEN: No, I haven't had a chance to talk to
him.
         THE COURT:
                     Yeah.
                            Supposing we wanted to send one
```

```
defendant downstairs and -- I'll tell you what. Why doesn't
 1
     the United States Attorney work with the marshals and -- and
 2
     get a solution and we'll take 15 minutes til 11:00 o'clock.
 3
     But Mr. Eisley needs to meet with his lawyer, hasn't had a
 4
     chance, but we want to have the other defendants stay here so
 5
 6
     we can sentence them sequentially, okay?
 7
               MR. MULCAHY: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Let's be in recess til 11:00
 8
 9
     o'clock.
               THE CLERK: All rise. Court is now in recess.
10
11
               (Court in recess at 10:44 a.m.)
12
               (Proceedings resumed at 11:04 a.m., all parties
13
               present)
               THE COURT: Okay. Everybody may be seated.
14
15
               Okay, Mr. Korn.
16
               MR. KORN:
                          Your Honor, we're ready to proceed.
               THE COURT:
17
                           Thank you.
                          May I have Mr. Kovac sit near me during
18
               MR. KORN:
     the sentencing?
19
20
               THE COURT:
                           I was just going to invite the marshals
21
     to escort Mr. Kovac to the table here.
22
               MR. KORN:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: If I could.
               Okay. While you're doing that, I will get organized.
24
25
               (Brief pause)
```

```
Okay. I have too many files here.
 1
               THE COURT:
 2
     relax for a minute.
                          I don't have a folder for Mr. Kovac.
               (Brief pause)
 3
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you very much.
 4
               THE CLERK:
                          You're welcome.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Okay. My apologies.
 6
 7
               Mr. Mulcahy, ready to go?
               MR. MULCAHY: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
 9
               THE COURT:
                           So we're going to conduct sentencing on
     Mr. Kovac, correct?
10
11
               MR. MULCAHY: Yes, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: And you're prepared as well, Mr. Korn?
13
               MR. KORN:
                          We are ready to proceed, Your Honor.
               THE COURT:
                          Let me invite you and your client to come
14
     on up to the microphone.
15
16
               MR. KORN:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: You are welcome.
17
               And the first question I am going to ask is for Mr.
18
             Have you, sir, had an opportunity to read over and
19
20
     thoroughly discuss the Pre-Sentence Report with your lawyer
21
     before you came to court here today?
22
               DEFENDANT KOVAC: Yes, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
                                              There are no
     objections to the report.
24
25
               The guideline range in the Plea Agreement and the
```

```
quideline calculation arrived at by the probation officer are
 1
 2
     the same.
              Are there any issues you want to state with regard to
 3
     the Pre-Sentence Report only at this time, Mr. Korn, in terms
 4
     of computations or corrections or anything else?
 5
                         No issues, Your Honor.
 6
              MR. KORN:
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. -- who's going to do this, is
 7
     it going to be -- Mr. Mulcahy, anything on the --
 8
 9
              MR. MULCAHY: I am.
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
              MR. MULCAHY: Yes, Your Honor, there are no
12
     objections on behalf of the government.
              THE COURT: All right. Very good. Then the Offense
13
14
     Level is 43, Criminal History Category is I. The quideline
     range at that level calls for a life sentence. And the factual
15
16
     findings of the probation officer in her report will be
     considered the factual findings of the Court for purposes of
17
18
     this sentencing only.
              Again, I have too much paper here so just let me make
19
     a couple of comments.
20
21
               The issue of forfeiture has been resolved.
22
              The issue of restitution is open and I'll hear
23
     argument on that as need be.
               There are no factual or legal disputes.
24
25
              There's no grounds for a departure, but I have read
```

me.

```
Mr. Korn's excellent and lengthy sentence memo of approximately 14 pages. That discusses the 3553(a) factors and it also contains exhibits, a number of exhibits, some of which are 10 pages and longer, and those support a -- a request for a variance to 20 years as well as mitigating factors and other materials.

So with that in mind, I think we're ready to go to sentencing on Mr. Kovac. And for that purpose -- and -- and I want you to know, I read the sentencing memorandum. I read all of the supporting materials. I've read the government's sentencing memorandum which is specific to this defendant, 34 pages. Obviously I've heard from the victims. I think I've considered the entire body of the case that I have available to
```

And so with that in mind, without restriction but bearing that in mind, Mr. Korn, if you'd like to make any remarks on behalf of your client, Mr. Kovac, I'd be very glad to hear them now. Go right ahead.

MR. KORN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the pain, the anguish, the suffering that these defendants caused the minor victims in this case and their families is beyond comprehension. I sat there and listened to the statements of the parents, the letters that were read, the statement of the minor victim, and I do not have the words to express the sorrow that I felt.

But the nature and circumstances of the offense is only one of the factors that this Court must consider in fashioning a sentence that would be sufficient but not greater than necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth in the sentencing statute. This Court is bound by law to consider all the factors that are set forth in the sentencing statute, including, but not limited to, the history and characteristics of the defendant. And this Court is mandated by the sentencing statute to impose a sentence that is sufficient but not greater than necessary to effectuate the objectives set forth in — in — in the statute, and the key here is sufficient but not greater than necessary. And as I stated in my sentencing memorandum, Your Honor, it is my position that a 20-year sentence on Mr. Kovac, who is 49 years old, would be sufficient and therefore just given all the circumstances of this case.

I have met with Mr. Kovac on numerous occasions and he has expressed genuine remorse for the pain and anguish that he has caused the minor victims and their families. He has submitted a letter to the Court which I think sincerely expresses the remorse that he feels. He stated to the Court that he just cannot believe — he stated to the Court in his letter that he just cannot believe that he did what he did, and he prays that somehow these families, these minor victims can overcome what he did to them and somehow find a way to deal with this and find a way to lead in his words a happy life.

Mr. Kovac has no criminal record of any kind. 1 He has an exemplary work history going back decades. 2 He has the love -- and -- and the letters that 3 I attached to my sentencing memorandum will attest to the fact 4 5 that he has the love of his family who will be there during his period of incarceration and will be there upon his release, if 6 this Court allows him to be released from prison, to help with 7 8 his rehabilitation. 9 He has stated to me over and over again and stated in his letter that he wants to avail himself of every program, 10 11 every form of therapy that would be available to him to deal 12 with this illness and addiction. He knows that he is a sick man and he wants to do whatever he can to overcome the illness 13 14 so that he does not harm anyone ever again. 15 I submitted a psychological report in which the 16 psychologist, Karen Wickline, administered numerous psychological tests, and I think what is most important about 17 that, the most important conclusion of those tests and her 18 assessment of Mr. Kovac is that based on the results of her 19 evaluation, she concluded that Mr. Kovac would be a low risk of 20 21 re-offending, that his risk of recidivism would be extremely 22 low. 23 This is important because in -- in deciding what sentence would be sufficient, one of the things that the Court 24 25 needs to consider is how long a sentence would I have to impose

```
on Mr. Kovac to make sure that he does not do this again, that he does not harm any other children in the way that he harmed these children? A 20-year sentence, and that is what I suggested to the Court, would be a sufficient and just sentence. A 20-year sentence on a 49-year-old person is a huge sentence. And in lieu of the sincere remorse expressed by Mr. Kovac and in lieu of the psychological report that determined that he would be a low risk of recidivism, clearly a 20-year sentence would ensure and be sufficient to prevent Mr. Kovac from ever doing this again.
```

The government is requesting — in its sentencing memorandum the government requested a sentence of 50 years.

50 years for a 49-year-old male is a life sentence. And I am asking this Court, I am urging this Court to consider all the circumstances in the case, to consider the history and character of Mr. Kovac and fashion a sentence that would allow him at some point to be released from prison and show this Court that he can rehabilitate himself, and that is his goal, to rehabilitate himself while in prison, and to show this Court that he, after 20 years, under the supervision of the Probation Department on supervised release in which he can be required to continue in therapy, which is what he needs, that he can be a law-abiding citizen.

It would seem to me that if 20 years is sufficient under all the circumstances of this case and all the purposes

```
set forth in the sentencing statute, if 20 years is sufficient to do what Congress has mandated should be done with a sentence, if 20 years is sufficient, then that would be a just sentence because that is the key.
```

And it would be my position in light of the remorse and -- and let me just say that the remorse is very important in a case like this because, yes, a significant sentence will motivate a person not to commit another offense, but in my experience, in my life, I would say that the one thing that really motivates a person, including myself, not to do something again that they know is wrong and has hurt people is how bad you feel inside for doing what you did. It is that kind of pain that is etched into a person's brain that truly prevents that person from committing the same act again. Mr. Kovac has shown sincere and deeply felt remorse.

In view of all the circumstances, I would urge the Court to impose a sentence of 20 years, which, again, I think would be a sufficient and just sentence in view of all the circumstances of this case.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Korn. Thanks for the hard work and service of your client, for taking the appointment on behalf of the Court, and grateful for your words and the arguments that you made.

Next, Mr. Kovac has the opportunity to address the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Court directly to say anything consistent with or in addition
to what Mr. Korn said on his behalf, and Mr. Kovac, I'd
recognize you for that purpose at this time. Go right ahead.
         DEFENDANT KOVAC:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
         I'll try to get through this as best as I can.
          I first want to apologize to all of the victims and
also their families as I'm deeply saddened and ashamed in my
inappropriate and disgusting behavior and actions towards the
victims. I took advantage of their -- of their vulnerability
and I took -- and I took their innocence away from them.
being selfish and was only thinking about myself.
         I didn't realize the impact of my actions or the
consequences and the effect that I had on all of the victims.
I just want to let them know that I pray every night for them
and ask God to look over them and to protect them and -- and to
keep them safe and to comfort them and their families through
this difficult time.
         And none of these victims should have ever had to go
through something like this, and I felt like I've taken a very
precious piece and part of their life away from them. This has
been some of the happiest times of their lives, and I stripped
them of that and I made it to be the worst times of their
lives, and they -- I can never give that back to them and I'm
so sorry for that.
         After listening to the victim impact statements and
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
the -- and the emotion from their parents and the anger and to
see what I did to those victims, I know I have put enormous
amount of the damage on them and I realize that and I regret it
to the day and I have to live with that forever and ever and
I'll never forget it.
         I just want to let them to know that I am truly,
truly sorry from the bottom of my heart, and I just pray and
hope in time -- because I see that they've got a strong, loving
family and they're going to get a lot of support and help
through therapy, their counseling -- that they can hopefully
one day return and have a normal life again. And I just want
to reiterate how deeply sorry and remorseful I am for my
egregious actions.
         Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Appreciate
those sincere words.
         Mr. Mulcahy, on behalf of the United States, you have
the right to speak to the appropriate sentence on behalf of the
People of the United States or any other factors under the
statutes and quidelines that you'd like to address at this
time. Go right ahead.
         MR. MULCAHY: Thank you, Your Honor.
         I appreciate that the Court has reviewed the
sentencing memoranda in this case, heard from the victims
today, read their letters. And while I won't venture to repeat
```

everything that was written because I know Your Honor has read them, I would take this opportunity to highlight a couple of facts about this group and about Mr. Kovac in particular for the Court to consider in fashioning an appropriate sentence in this case.

As we start with the nature and circumstances of the offense, this group of men, this -- have all pled guilty to a crime that we call child exploitation enterprise, and it is an enterprise by any definition of that word. This group was organized, they were rule-bound to one another, so much so that they actually wrote their rules out to share with each other, they strategized together.

They played different roles, and that's important because what they did was identified one another's strengths. One individual might be a good talker while the other is charming so that the girls may follow him to this chat room. Still others are more computer savvy so they were more adept at starting a website, a website dedicated solely for this group, what we've identified as Website B, which ran very similarly to Website A. They started that Website B so that they could continue their hunt of these girls.

What also is important, Your Honor, is their strategy sessions. You can imagine each of these defendants sitting in front of multiple computer screens having different windows open, each window representing a chat room of a little girl who

```
came and thought she was talking to men -- to boys her age.

All the while each one of these men were appearing in a Skype chat just among themselves knowing they were -- there were other men, grown men just like they in that chat room trying to convince or trying to strategize how to best convince these girls to undress and masturbate on camera. These girls showed up alone without any support and these men showed up together.
```

And to say that they're an enterprise also is important because of the roles that they played. I talked about the roles they played with the girls, but also important for an enterprise is when one individual can't make it to work that day, couldn't make it to the enterprise sessions those nights, they had backup. They recorded these videos for one another and shared them so that if somebody went on vacation or was at work or otherwise couldn't attend, he could trust his confederates, he could trust his friends to do his bidding for him to get this ultimate win as they would call it.

So when I think about this enterprise, we think about how this group managed to work together for all of these years and the wild success that they had with victims over a hundred, I notice a theme running through the defendants' memoranda, and that theme is — is understandable. It's trying to find a comparison. This crime is so outrageous and so large, child exploitation enterprise crimes are not charged very often in this country, and, in fact, in our office this is, by my count,

the third and the second of which that relates to Website B.

So these are rare cases. And as a result of them being rare cases, I recognize and appreciate counsel attempting to try to reach for some type of comparison, and where they've all landed, Your Honor, is on child pornography crimes. And that's a good place to land as a defense attorney because child pornography crimes, at least the most baseline of crimes, receipt, possession, distribution, are under somewhat of attack. They are fertile ground for debate about what the appropriate sentences should be in those cases.

But it's a false comparison. It doesn't work in this case because child offenses don't run like this enterprise ran. Judge, the apt comparison in this case is an organized crime case. This is a gang case. Just like organized crime, these are folks who work together. They have disproportionate power vis-a-vis their victims as they run together as grown men three times the age of their victims, their victims not knowing that they're working together collaboratively with their degrees, with their experience, with their life experience, while these kids are trying to find their way in the world, and they overcome the will of these kids.

And so the comparison here, Judge, is not to child pornography crimes, which maybe there's a day and a time for a healthy debate about those, but this is an enterprise, this is a gang, this is an organized crime case.

And even in that genre of cases, these men stand out. I told the Court that child exploitation enterprise was rarely charged in this country. It's not rarely charged because prosecutors are afraid to charge it. It's rarely charged because groups like this are rare. They stand apart based on their size and scope.

In this courtroom today, Judge, there are six men. Two others have recently been arrested and will face charges related to the same scheme. There are a half dozen more, Your Honor, littered throughout the world that we continue to pursue. This is a large group. So the size of the group is big. The scope of the group is big. It lasted for years on multiple websites, the only theme being this Skype chat, these series of Skype chats that these men engaged in.

And they also stand apart based on the child exploitation that they sought. As the government tried to give some, and it was a sliver of evidence that we had, and as not to overwhelm the Court with evidence, we provided just a sliver of the chats between these defendants and these victims. And the theme that seemed to grow is that the sexual depravity that these mean asked these kids to engage in grew. It wasn't enough to show your breasts, it wasn't enough to show your vagina, it wasn't enough to masturbate. They wanted more and more depraved images: urination, bestiality, getting a friend. It was never enough.

So what about Mr. Kovac? As we look at Mr. Kovac in particular and where he sits in this group, his volume was remarkable. As the government wrote in its sentencing memo and as it has in its slide before the Court, more than 48,000 child exploitive images and videos. That's 900 hours of content.

I told the Court about the Skype chat. He made more than 15,000 entries to these men. They were his friends, they were his hobby.

His conduct lasted six years.

And unlike most of these men, there's only one other in this group that's like him, he was in two different groups. To say it in another way, Mr. Kovac wasn't satisfied with the more than hundred victims resulting from the Skype Group. He needed another group because he wanted more victims.

The number of logins that he had from Website A amounted to 14 times each and every day. By his own admission he spent hours a day every day on Website A.

So that's the volume. And while that's bad enough, the content is shocking: urination videos; bestiality videos; infants and toddlers; masturbation with objects; his role in the group as a talker, as a looper, as a moderator; and the words he said about these girls, they're shocking.

So when we think about the nature and circumstances of this offense, Judge, we can't think solely of this group or solely of Mr. Kovac. We also must consider the victims in this

case. And I know the Court has read those sentencing memoranda. I know the Court has heard the victims today and paid close attention. And I can't improve on the words they wrote and I won't try to, but I would underscore this. These victims' lives are going to be divided in two parts: before they met this men — these men and after they met these men. These men brought our victims a lifetime of hard conversations. Every boyfriend they make, their spouse, their children, their loved ones, their friends, to really know these victims, they are probably going to have the conversation about these men.

The victims don't include just these girls; their parents as well. Your Honor heard from them, and I thought a theme that ran through the -- the -- what the Court heard today as well as the letters was this guilt, a lot of them blaming themselves. You heard from Minor Victim 8 and Minor Victim 15's father talking about how they thought that they were safe. The relationships with wives has changed. The relationship with husbands have changed. The relationships with these children, these victims has changed. A lot of these parents identified themselves as being failures.

But the last thing I want to say about the nature and circumstances of the offense, Judge, is that the victims here were heartfelt, the victims here were sincere, and they offered to the Court their sincere words of how this case affected them. But for every victim that spoke today, there are ten

```
that did not speak today because it was too hard, perhaps because the FBI has not found them. Some just don't want anything to do with this case because the pain is too great. So I would ask the Court do consider not just the words that it heard today but the words that it did not hear today.
```

So what about Mr. Kovac and the nature -- and I agree with Mr. Korn, there are few matters where he and I are in agreement. One is that this Court can't just look at the nature and circumstances of the offense. If it did, this would be a very easy sentencing hearing because it is unmatched, the nature and circumstances of the offense.

But when we turn to the history and characteristics of the defendant, what do we make of Mr. Kovac? What's been offered is a consistent work history. And I mean no disrespect to Mr. Kovac, but having a job does not render one worthy of a downward variance. That should be the minimum we expect of folks. And even this man with his consistent job history acknowledged that he accessed Website A while at work.

What about the letter that he wrote? I agree with Mr. Korn that there were portions of that letter that were sincere, but there were two things that stood out to me, Your Honor, as I read that letter. And what stands out is when Mr. Kovac says twice, "I didn't realize the impact my actions had upon these girls. I didn't understand the enormous consequences." Color me skeptical. These girls openly talked

```
about suicide, about cutting, about depression. In his psychological report the evaluator suggests that Mr. Kovac himself had talked about depression and suffering from that. He ought to know what it looks like. And it wasn't a mystery, these girls did not keep it from these offenders. So I reject this idea that he didn't understand. Maybe the whole scope, sure, but they knew these girls were suffering.
```

And when they identified these girls as suicidal or cutting, the object was not to save them or leave them alone and say maybe we ought to move on to the next one. Instead, it was "Let us be nice to these girls, let's build them up, let's give them compliments so that we can get them to do what we want them to do all along." It was a means to an end, Judge.

He also talks about the fact that he lacks criminal history, and I think that generally that is an important point. It is something that we often look at with favor. I ask the Court to counterbalance the fact that he's never been before a judge and never suffered a criminal conviction but that for six years of his life he went to bed every night and he woke up every morning in the midst of committing a crime, and not just any crime, an epic crime.

So the idea that he has no criminal history, that this is somehow equivalent to a man who has a blip in the radar of an otherwise lawful life, that this is aberrant behavior, one-time deal, I think should be wholly rejected. This man

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

well.

```
does not stand here like others who said, "I committed a crime,
I made a mistake but I otherwise lived a law-abiding life."
has lived a lawless life for the past half a dozen years.
         Your Honor, next the guidelines and the -- actually,
I'm sorry, Your Honor. The last thing on history and
characteristics of the offense, the only other thing that I
think points mildly in Mr. Kovac's favor is this evaluation and
it calls him a low risk of recidivism, and I think it's fair to
push back on a couple of points there.
         First and foremost, Mr. Kovac apparently told the
evaluator that his time on Website A lasted approximately three
        That's on page 5. That's not true. It lasted six
years.
years.
         Only one group is mentioned throughout the evaluation
         Leads me to believe that the topic that the evaluator
believed that she was evaluating Mr. Kovac for was his
participation in the Skype Group, but he was a member of two
groups.
         He denied to the evaluator that he had a sexual
interest in prepubescent girls, and while the evaluator at
least acknowledged that there were some images of prepubescent
girls, it did not say anything about whether those images were
infants or toddlers, which there were some on his computer as
```

So these omissions, coupled with the idea that a man

```
who spent every single day, by his own admission, without stopping — the only time he stopped was when the FBI showed up — spent every day of his life, adult life, manipulating young girls, that he doesn't pose a risk of re-offending is frankly just not worth the paper it's written on.
```

And we ask the Court to consider the facts that were omitted and just its common sense and suggest — because Mr. Kovac, the last thing he said about that evaluation or in that evaluation was that he didn't think that his Internet use caused any problems in the rest of his life, another conclusion that doesn't make any sense. And I think the entirety of the psychological report makes very little sense and should be given very little weight.

And as we look to the last couple of factors, Your Honor, and I'm almost done, I appreciate the Court's time, are the nature -- excuse me, the guidelines and the types of sentences available. 3553 talks about the types of sentences available, and Mr. Korn has asked for and every defense attorney has asked for 20 years in prison for this case.

What that equates to, Your Honor, is a request to find these men, Mr. Kovac in particular but all of these men, as the least culpable offenders to commit child exploitation enterprise. Congress has decided that anyone, no matter how big or how small the enterprise is, who commits this crime of child exploitation enterprise ought to get 20 years. That

means the offenders who are a group of let's say four, and there are two victims, and for two days they convinced these girls to take pictures of themselves and send them, that if that is the universe, that is a child exploitation enterprise, and Congress has said those men deserve 20 years.

These men are not the least culpable offenders to commit child exploitation enterprise; they are among the worst. More than a hundred victims, 10 to 15 offenders, years of work, multiple websites and ongoing chat discussion where they strategize. Congress said 20 years for the baseline least culpable, but for the most culpable they allow a sentence of up to life in prison. Congress I submit to the Court had this group in mind. The guidelines themselves call for life.

The last factor, Your Honor, is to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities, and in here it's a fair question to pose to the government, why is Mr. Kovac -- why is the request 50 years for Mr. Kovac, which is the highest among his confederates? Mr. Kovac, unlike these men here, did not cooperate with the government. There is some dispute about whether he offered to, and I agree that he offered to cooperate but far too late, closer to the plea date when the government had its case fairly well known. He did provide information at the time of his arrest, that is true. But his true cooperation as we know it, substantial assistance, he stands apart from these men because these men sat down and he didn't.

victim.

More importantly, Your Honor, Mr. Kovac, unlike most of the defendants here, was involved in two groups and not just one. And unwarranted sentences disparities do not mean Mr. Kovac versus Mr. Eisley or Mr. Dominguez or Mr. Massey. It is against other offenders who commit the same crime with the same criminal history. That's what that factor means.

And as I indicated earlier, child exploitation enterprise is the kind of crime that isn't charged very often because it doesn't happen very much and it certainly doesn't happen to this level. A sentence of 50 years in this case would not be an unwarranted sentencing disparity against the men that sit here or against child exploitation enterprise defendants who are at large. It is less than a year for every

Your Honor, for all the reasons that we've stated, for everything that the victims said and for those who couldn't speak today, the government asks for a sentence of 50 years.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much, Mr. Mulcahy.

Let me ask a quick question. I think I glossed over or at least stated that there wasn't going to be an issue with restitution, but indeed you did arrive in your Plea Agreement at a \$5,000 payment to each victim, and whereas that — it's hard to determine what the exact amount would be based on the uncertainty of what was lost or at least the inability to put a

```
monetary figure on it, the simple fact is that any legal
 1
 2
     dispute or factual dispute about the amount is irrelevant
     because you've all agreed on a $5,000 payment per victim for
 3
     each defendant, is that right?
 4
              MR. MULCAHY: That's right, Your Honor. The total
 5
     ends up at $235,000.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. Okay. So restitution is
 7
     going to be $235,000 without objection from either party.
 8
 9
              No fine, no costs of incarceration due to the
     inability to pay. Forfeiture has been taken care of.
10
11
               So I will address the sentence, give the lawyers both
     an opportunity to object and then state the final sentence.
12
     The --
13
              MR. KORN: Your Honor?
14
              THE COURT: Yes.
15
                          If I may, and I apologize, but I would
16
              MR. KORN:
     like to respond to one issue that Mr. Mulcahy raised, if I may.
17
18
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                          I feel compelled to say that he raised an
19
              MR. KORN:
20
     issue as to Mr. Kovac's cooperation.
21
              THE COURT:
                           Right.
22
              MR. KORN:
                         And let me say that Mr. Kovac at the time
23
     of his arrest, this is like within hours after he was arrested,
24
     he agreed to talk with the FBI agents. He gave a two-hour
25
     recorded statement in which he repeatedly said that if there's
```

```
any way he could offer some kind of assistance to the government to further their investigation, he was willing. They asked him, "Would you be willing to allow us to assume you're online identity?" He said yes. "Would you be willing to allow -- would you advise us of your passwords and everything else?" He informed the government that he would. And as I said, he repeatedly told the agents that whatever he could do he was willing to do.

There was a -- a -- a reference in Mr. Mulcahy's statement to the Court that everybody else sat down and was debriefed by the government but Mr. -- Mr. Kovac didn't and somehow that's a reflection on him. Let me say that right from
```

statement to the Court that everybody else sat down and was debriefed by the government but Mr. -- Mr. Kovac didn't and somehow that's a reflection on him. Let me say that right from the time I met Mr. Kovac, he has advised -- he told me that he may not have because he doesn't know the other participants by name, he's not sure what information he has that would be helpful to the government, but he has repeatedly asked me to have the agents come and debrief him to see if there's anything that he would know that would help them with their investigation.

I on multiple occasions have approached the AUSAs in this matter and said, "My client would like to be debriefed. Are you interested in having the agents" -- and this is early on in the case -- "Are you interested in having the agents talk to him?" And they said, "Well, we're not sure at this point whether we want to debrief him, but if we do, we'll let you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
know." And I repeatedly throughout the case asked them to meet
with him, because they certainly have more information about
the case than I did, and see whether or not Mr. Kovac would
have any information that would help them with their
investigation, and they repeatedly say, "At this time the
agents don't think it would be helpful to meet with him."
         So if -- if cooperation is an issue, he has bent over
backwards to cooperate to the best of his -- his ability with
the government in -- in furtherance of the investigation.
                     If he offered to cooperate from the
get-go, Mr. Mulcahy, but didn't because the government rejected
his efforts, whatever, why should he get 50 as opposed to
somebody who offered to cooperate and -- and gave some -- some
information? Because we're talking about life sentences across
the board, and it seems to me that if we're going -- first of
all, everybody wants a departure or at least a variance, not
only the defendants but the United States, and I think the
United States is driven by -- I don't want to say -- in fact, I
probably shouldn't get into what I believe the government's
sentencing recommendation is driven by.
         But the question I would have is if we're talking
about life sentences across the board, why do we vary for some
as opposed to others? I mean there's a lot of distinctions
within the familial, medical, psychological and other
backgrounds of -- of these defendants. What we do know is that
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
everybody did the same thing and no one had a criminal record.
What would distinguish about who should get more of a departure
than less from the recommendation of the government, Mr.
Mulcahy?
         MR. MULCAHY: Yes, Your Honor. All recommendations
are based in some measure on cooperation, in some measure on
some of the other factors that Your Honor identified: familial
issues, things like that.
         But as to Mr. Kovac in particular, it's -- it's --
it's certain that he offered to cooperate very late in -- it
wasn't throughout. When he first got here -- when he -- when
he was in Nevada, he offered to give a password. Everything
that Mr. Korn said about his behavior in Nevada is accurate.
         He came here. At first there was a delay in whether
he wanted to meet with us, and by the time he came through to
say, "Yes, I want to meet with you," we had met with everybody
and we felt like our case was solid, we didn't need his
assistance.
         And more importantly, Your Honor, even if he had sat
down with us, that the recommendations that we're making here
are based on the substantial assistance provided. So some of
these men have been given a lesser recommendation because they
actually moved the needle, they helped the case along, while
others got a very little, if any, of a reduction based on their
```

cooperation because they did not move the needle. But --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Hold on. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Ι understand what you're saying. I guess I should ask explicitly why -- why doesn't -- why doesn't the government recommend a life sentence for this individual then? MR. MULCAHY: Because we wanted to put it in a term of years for a couple of reasons. One reason to put it in a term of years is so there could be -- more accurately show the waterfall effect of the different recommendations. In other words, where Mr. Kovac sits based on all of his circumstances of the offense based on his conduct versus where the next individual sits and the next individual sits, sits. So the idea was to put up a set term of years. For Mr. Kovac it is -- and I don't necessarily disagree with Mr. Korn, that it is essentially a life sentence because with good time and credit, he gets out at about the age of 90. But we

wanted a term of years for -- for one reason, which is to show a consistent pattern, which we know recommendations for each defendant.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MULCAHY: As well as with a term of years, Your Honor, he can earn good time credit and have that -- not only that ability to get good time credit but that motivation to get good time credit such that his behavior would be better in the Bureau of Prisons. So that's the other reason why a term of years is preferable than just a straight life sentence in this

case. 1 2 THE COURT: That also means -- that also means that a younger member of the enterprise gets a break randomly because 3 of the age in which they entered the enterprise. 4 MR. MULCAHY: I don't know if it's a break, but 5 6 yes --Why -- why -- does Mr. -- Mr. Kovac gets 7 THE COURT: 8 a life sentence because he entered the enterprise when he was 9 40, and someone else might have entered the enterprise when they were 20 and they get presumably, life expectancy being the 10 11 same, much less time in prison? See what I mean? MR. MULCAHY: I do. And I think the argument if the 12 defendant was 20 is his brain hasn't developed, and so he 13 14 shouldn't be punished like a -- like a person who's been 15 through the life experience like Mr. Kovac has. All of these 16 men are at least in their 30s or 40s, and our recommendation is not necessarily tied to age but the conduct that they 17 committed. 18 And Mr. Kovac's conduct, being involved in two 19 20 groups, being constantly in these groups, never taking a break, 21 having multiple roles and ultimately not providing substantial 22 assistance, is what led us to the -- to the 50-year 23 recommendation. I think he sits apart from most of these men

except for the men who we've recognized in our sentencing memo

would otherwise deserve a life sentence but cooperated to such

24

```
1 | a degree that we feel the need to file a 5K in this case.
```

THE COURT: Either one of you can answer this. When he was arrested and offered to speak about his -- his activity in -- in Nevada, was he counseled or represented by a lawyer at that time? I'm sensing not.

MR. KORN: He was not represented by an attorney,

Your Honor. He was read his Miranda Rights. He was not -- he
waived his Miranda Rights. He waived his right to an attorney
and he was not represented by an attorney.

And I do have to say, Your Honor, if I may, and I don't want to belabor this point, but Mr. Mulcahy said it was late in the game when he came forth and said, "I want to be debriefed. We had already debriefed everybody else." Mr. Kovac through me, I spoke with Assistant U.S. Attorney Russo at the arraignment on the indictment and I said that Mr. Kovac would like to be -- would be willing to be debriefed to see whether or not he has any information that will be helpful to the government. This was at the arraignment on the indictment. And Ms. Russo -- Russo said that she would talk to the agents and see if they wanted to meet with him.

So right from the very beginning -- and I'm not saying he had substantial assistance, but I'm saying right from the very beginning he wanted to meet with the government and give them whatever information he had so they could determine whether or not it would be useful to their investigation.

```
Your Honor, can I respond since he's --
 1
              MS. RUSSO:
 2
              THE COURT:
                          No, I -- I don't think we need to get
     into any more of this.
 3
              MS. RUSSO:
                          All right.
 4
                          All right. The Court will state the
 5
              THE COURT:
     sentence and give both lawyers an opportunity to reject.
 6
               I personally believe the conduct in the case calls
 7
 8
     for a -- a sentence of life imprisonment with no chance of
 9
              I think that Congress on behalf of the United States
10
     people has expressed very clearly that individuals who engage
11
     in child exploitation enterprise are so dangerous and so
12
     criminal that they should not be on the street. By and large
     and philosophically I share that view. Need I have my view
13
     reinforced, it would certainly be through the victim impact
14
15
     statements that I've received in the past weeks and in court
16
     today.
               I, however, have one simple motive driving me to
17
18
     grant a downward variance, which is that I believe part of my
     obligation as a judge is to not sustain or perpetuates --
19
     perpetuate the rights of -- of victims per se but to be fair to
20
     both parties of the dispute. And my personal view, in looking
21
22
     at -- at both punishment, protection of the community and
23
     deterrence, all of which I believe support the imposition of a
24
     life sentence, is very simply mercy and an opportunity for an
25
     individual with no prior criminal record to have some
```

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
opportunity to look to the future and -- and some opportunity
at least finishing life in -- in free circumstances, and -- and
I kind of gathered that that was behind the government's
recommendations below the life term for which they give.
         So, you know, very simply put, I think a life
sentence is -- is -- and by the way, I would like to say that
the characteristic or at least the characterization of the
offense is correctly given by the United States. These are not
child pornography production or possession crimes.
                                                   These are
crimes of organized criminals, intelligent, aberrant and the
worst of -- of the worst, and this is not a simple crime of
possessing, taping. And what's sad about this is that it --
it -- it -- well, that's all I have to say.
         Pursuant to the Sentence Reform Act of 1984, the
Court, having considered the sentence guidelines and factors
contained in 18 USC, Section 3553(a) that I just went over,
will hereby commit the defendant, Terry Kovac, to the custody
of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 444 months.
         It's further recommended that the defendant be
designated to an institution with a comprehensive sexual
offender treatment program.
         Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall
be placed on a supervised release term of five years.
         The defendant must pay a special assessment of a
hundred dollars, that'll be due immediately, and a Justice For
```

```
Victims of Trafficking Act assessment of $5,000.
```

The Court is aware that the parties agreed to a restitution amount of \$5,000 per identified victim, and the Court will impose therefore a restitution amount of \$235,000. The Court waives any interest, penalties and fees that might -- may -- might accrue.

The Court waives the imposition of a fine, the costs of incarceration, the costs of supervision due to the defendant's lack of financial resources.

While in custody, the defendant shall participate in the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program. The Court is aware of the requirements of the IFRP and approves the payment schedules of the program and hereby orders the defendant's compliance.

Mandatory drug testing is suspended because I believe that the defendant poses a very low risk of future substance abuse.

While on supervision the defendant shall abide by the standard conditions adopted by the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and he must also comply with the following special conditions. Due to the nature and circumstances of the offense, the following extensive special conditions are ordered:

Number one, the defendant shall comply with the requirements of SORNA, that's the Sex Offender Registration

Act, 42 USC, Section 16901, 16901. That will be directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons and any state Sex Offender Registration Agency in which he resides, works as a student or is convicted of a qualifying offense.

The defendant shall successfully complete any sex offender diagnostic evaluation, treatment and counseling program and polygraph examination as directed by the probation officer.

Reports pertaining to sex offender assessments, treatment and polygraphic examination shall be provided to the probation officer as directed by the Court.

The defendant shall pay all or part of the cost of diagnostic evaluations, treatment or counseling programs and polygraphic examinations based upon the ability to pay.

The defendant shall be required to submit to periodic polygraphic testing at the discretion of the probation officer as a means to ensure compliance with the requirements of supervision or treatment. No violation proceedings will arise on the results of the polygraphic examination. Based on the defendant's ability to pay, he shall pay the cost of the polygraph examination in an amount determined by the probation officer.

The defendant shall not associate with minor children under the age of 18 except in the presence of a responsible adult who's aware of the nature of the defendant's background

and the current offense without prior approval of the probation 1 2 officer. The defendant may have unsupervised contact with his 3 or her own children at the discretion of the probation officer. 4 He shall not frequent places where children 5 congregate on a regular basis such as, but not limited to, 6 school grounds, playgrounds, child toy stores, video arcades 7 8 and things of that nature. 9 The defendant shall notify anyone they date or marry with a minor child under the age of 18 of their conviction. 10 11 The defendant shall not purchase, sell, view or possess images in any form of media or live venue that depict 12 pornography, sexually explicit conduct, child erotica or child 13 nudity. The defendant shall not patronize any place where such 14 material or entertainment is available. 15 16 The defendant shall have employment pre-approved by the Probation Department. 17 18 The defendant shall not be employed at or participate in any volunteer activities that involve contact with minors 19 under the age of 18 or adults with disabilities without prior 20 21 approval of the probation officer. 22 The defendant shall have all residences pre-approved 23 by the Probation Department. The defendant shall not provide care or live in a 24 residence where children under the age of 18 or adults with 25

disabilities shall also reside without prior approval of the probation officer.

The defendant shall participate in the Computer/
Internet Monitoring Program administered by the Probation

Department. He shall abide by the program agreement in effect at the time of the supervision and comply with any amendments to the program during the term of supervision. Due to the advances in technology, the Court will adopt the amendments of the program as necessary.

For the purpose of accounting for his person, residence, computer and/or vehicle, the defendant shall submit to a search conducted by the Probation Department at a reasonable time and manner. He must inform any other residents that the premises may be subject to a search warrant pursuant to this condition.

He shall provide the probation officer with access to any requested financial information and billing records.

The defendant shall submit his person, residence, office, vehicle, papers, business or place of employment or any property subject under his control to a search. Search must be conducted by Probation at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner based upon the reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of a violation of a condition of release. Failure to submit to such a search may be grounds for revocation. The defendant shall warn any residents that the premises may be

```
subject to search.
 1
               And the defendant shall not have any contact,
 2
     directly or indirectly, with any victim or witness in the
 3
     instant offense unless approved by the probation officer.
 4
               In light of the restitution, the defendant shall not
 5
     incur any new credit charges or open additional lines of credit
 6
     without the approval of the probation officer unless the
 7
 8
     defendant is in compliance with the payment schedule.
 9
               The defendant shall provide the probation officer
     access to any requested financial information.
10
11
               He has to make monthly installment payments on any
     remaining balance of the fine or a special assessment at a rate
12
     and schedule recommended by the Probation Department and
13
14
     approved by the Court.
15
               That will be the sentence of the Court. Any
16
     objections from Mr. Korn?
               MR. KORN: No objections, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Any objections from Mr. Mulcahy?
18
               MR. MULCAHY: No, Your Honor.
19
20
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
21
                          Your Honor, if I may.
               MR. KORN:
               THE COURT:
22
                           Yes.
23
               MR. KORN: Could the Court -- I would ask the Court
     to recommend that -- and I -- and I understand it's just a
24
     recommendation, but that recommend that the Bureau of Prisons
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
place Mr. Kovac in a prison that has a sexual offender
treatment program. And I know one of the ones, if he meets the
qualifications, and I don't know whether he does, but one of
the ones that I know that has programs like that is FCI Elkton,
but I would certainly ask the Court to make that
recommendation.
         THE COURT: I'll endorse that completely. I think
the defendant would benefit from treatment. He wants to
achieve something there. And I would recommend he be placed at
a facility with a sex offender treatment facility, and if
Elkton's the one, that's -- that's -- that's something I would
endorse.
         The sentence as stated earlier without objection will
be imposed.
         The defendant has waived the right to appeal both his
sentence since it's below that called for in the Plea Agreement
and the conviction itself as part of the plea. Mr. Kovac, the
waivers, the sort you signed are generally enforceable. If you
don't think yours is, you can take that up directly with the
Court of Appeals.
         The defendant will be remanded to the custody of the
marshal for service of his sentence.
         Both parties have copies of the Pre-Sentence Report.
Final copies will be sent to the Bureau of Prison and the
Sentencing Commission. Any other copies are to be kept
```

```
strictly confidential.
 1
 2
              Anything else on this defendant, Mr. Mulcahy?
 3
               MR. MULCAHY: Yes, Your Honor. Pursuant to the terms
     of the Plea Agreement, the government moves to dismiss
 4
     Counts 1 -- 2 through 6 of the indictment.
 5
               THE COURT: That's granted without objection.
 6
 7
               Anything else from you, Mr. Korn?
               MR. KORN: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: All right. Good luck to you, Mr. Kovac.
               DEFENDANT KOVAC: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: You're welcome.
11
12
               MR. KORN:
                          Thank you.
               THE COURT: All right. You're welcome.
13
14
               (Proceedings concluded at 12:12 p.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Detroit, Michigan
 1
 2
               Tuesday, July 17, 2018
 3
               (Proceedings commenced at 12:12 p.m., all parties
 4
 5
              present)
              THE COURT: Let's go -- Mr. Massey is with Mr. --
 6
 7
     with Mr. Satawa, is that right?
              MR. MULCAHY: No, Your Honor, it's Mr. Dominguez.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Let's go with Mr. Dominguez, Mr. Massey
     and Mr. Robinson. Can we do that, in that order?
10
11
              MS. RUSSO: Mr. -- I'm sorry, Mr. Dominguez and then
     Mr. Robinson and then you said Mr. Massey?
12
              THE COURT: I said the opposite, but either way. Mr.
13
     Dominguez, Mr. Massey and Mr. Robinson, either -- either --
14
     either or is fine with me.
15
16
              MS. RUSSO: That sounds good. If we could do Mr.
     Robinson after Mr. Dominguez, that would be great.
17
18
              THE COURT:
                          That's fine. Okay. All right.
     case is USA versus Felipe Dominguez-Meija. Mr. Mulcahy and Ms.
19
     Russo are here and Mr. Satawa is here as well.
20
21
              Let me interrupt briefly. Linda, are you making one
22
     transcript or are you doing separate...
23
               (Brief discussion held off the record)
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I apologize for that
24
25
     interruption.
```

```
And let me turn to Mr. Satawa and ask him and his
 1
 2
     client to come up to the microphone and we'll get started.
              Let me ask Mr. Meija, sir, have you had an
 3
     opportunity to thoroughly read the Pre-Sentence Report along
 4
     with your lawyer, and including any revisions that have been --
 5
     might have been made to it after it first came out?
 6
 7
              DEFENDANT DOMINGUEZ-MEIJA: I have, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Pull that microphone a
 8
 9
     little -- thank you. Okay. Very good.
              As with the previous defendant, Mr. Kovac, there
10
11
     appears to be no dispute as to the guideline range or the
     probation officer's report. No objections were filed. And I
12
     would ask you, Mr. Satawa, whether or not you'd like to make
13
14
     any corrections, changes, or objections to the Pre-Sentence
15
     Report at this time.
16
              MR. SATAWA: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Thank you. Mr. -- or Ms. Russo,
17
18
     how about you?
              MS. RUSSO: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
19
20
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Then the Pre-Sentence Report will
21
     be accepted by the Court. The factual findings of the officer
22
     will be those of the Court for purposes of this sentence only.
23
              The Offense Level is 43, the Criminal History
24
     Category is I. The quideline range contemplates a life
25
     sentence as does the Plea Agreement. Again, both sides offer
```

```
in their sentencing memoranda requests for variances, which I
 1
 2
     am going to consider.
              The issue of restitution, as I mentioned with Mr.
 3
     Kovac, is addressed. The parties have agreed on a $235,000
 4
     joint and several figure for restitution.
 5
              There's no ability to pay any fine.
 6
              We spoke about forfeiture at the beginning of the
 7
     proceeding.
 8
 9
              And again, there's no ground for departure but
     variances are requested and we'll consider those as we go
10
11
     further.
              On behalf of Mr. Meija, Mr. Satawa has the
12
     opportunity to make any remarks as to the appropriate sentence
13
14
     as to mitigation. Go right ahead, sir.
              MR. SATAWA: Your Honor, I will note for the record
15
     that I'm sure the Court -- in light of the fact that the Court
16
     commented on the excellent and lengthy sentencing memorandum by
17
     Mr. Korn and has not remarked on the -- the -- the content or
18
     substance of mine, that I would certainly still expect and
19
     believe that the Court has reviewed it extensively and
20
21
     understands the basic framework of the arguments I'm making on
22
     behalf of Mr. Meija.
23
              THE COURT: 1 through 24, read, considered,
     thoroughly digested. I certainly did read the entire
24
25
     sentencing memorandum. I am in receipt of that. I -- I
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
probably should have mentioned everything I'm in receipt of,
and I appreciate that correction, but, of course, you filed
that on the 10th, which was a week ago today, and I read that
not only over the weekend but again this morning.
         Go -- go right ahead, Mr. Satawa.
         MR. SATAWA: Thank you, Your Honor.
         Your Honor, the substance of my arguments are
contained in that memorandum. I don't think I need to repeat
them for the Court or -- or the government.
         Your Honor, I -- I -- I note that the Court has --
has just discussed with its court reporter the idea of making a
joint transcript. I would in that vein, rather than repeat
some of the arguments made by Mr. Korn, I would ask the Court
to adopt many of those arguments just for the sake of
efficiencies' sake.
         Your Honor, these cases are without question
difficult and troubling. And as I stand before Your Honor on
behalf of Mr. Meija, the -- the thing that really struck me as
I heard both Mr. Korn and Mr. Mulcahy speak is that many of
the -- of the comments made by both sides in this case
highlight the -- the difficulty and sort of the uniqueness that
these cases present.
         I -- I -- I can only state that my impression of
this case was that -- that both sides have been represented
extraordinarily well by counsel, and I have had nothing but
```

doing better than that.

```
a -- a -- a very professional relationship with both Ms. Russo and Mr. Mulcahy as this case has gone through the -- its -- you know, through that court system. I know that they are aboveboard and professional and have made arguments that they feel best represent their client, the United States, and -- and I stand before Your Honor in that -- in that same vein.

I guess the -- the -- the real rub or point of the adversarial system is to have lawyers representing both sides to come up in front of Your Honor and make arguments. And there's no question that these crimes are abhorrent. There's no question that they caused suffering. There's no question they caused damage. There's no question that they've caused in
```

And, Your Honor, there's -- there's -- there's nothing that any advocate can do on either side to -- to undo what has been done. Your Honor's comments that Congress has clearly identified this as a -- a case that deserves the -- the most stringent and lengthy punishment permeates throughout this case.

some cases I'm sure lifetime damage, and -- and in other cases

it sounds like some of the victims are -- are -- are

And on behalf of Mr. Meija, I think it's important that as I listened to Mr. Mulcahy and the sentencing argument he made as it related to the first sentencing defendant, what strikes me, Your Honor, is the point I tried to make in my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
sentencing memorandum that almost everything the government
said is taken apart -- is taken into account by the guidelines.
I know of no other offense where your base level starts in the
40s. I -- in -- in researching the guidelines, I could come up
with terrorism and -- and, you know, obviously, you know,
severe, serious racketeering enterprise type cases. And I
understand the government's argument that this is an enterprise
by any definition and that it is no different from an organized
crime or drug -- a drug gang that's racketeer -- that's
racketeering and running drugs and guns or -- or whatnot.
I understand that argument.
         But I -- I think it's important for your Court when
analyzing -- for this Court, when analyzing the 3553 factors,
to -- to start with the idea that we are starting with a life
sentence. An individual that has had never contact with the
criminal justice system, not an arrest, not a conviction, a
Level I, and not a Level I with some minor criminal history but
a true Level I, no criminal record, stands before Your Honor
with a presumptive sentence of -- of life, a quideline range
that starts him at life, and that is solely driven by the
quidelines which is solely driven by those kind of factors that
the government argues.
         Of course the crime is abhorrent. That's taken into
account by the guidelines. Of course it's caused damage.
That's why the guidelines are so high. That's why there is a
```

```
repeat and -- and dangerous sex offender enhancement that when started with a level 35 combines with that five points to make this a level 40, and you only need three more points to get to a life, a presumptive life sentence with a Criminal History Category I. It is without question a serious offense. It is without question an offense that caused serious and -- and -- harm and damage to untold amounts of young people.
```

But the way I understand 3553 and the way I understand the guidelines is is this Court is not supposed to compare this offense or this offender to somebody who had been convicted with the federal crime of trespassing on federal forestry. I mean Mr. -- Mr. Meija's sentence is to be fashioned by comparing him to others convicted of this offense, like offenses. The guidelines are -- are designed to -- to drive that point home, that -- that like offenders who commit like offenses, the Court is -- the guidelines are to try to establish, however fleeting and difficult, that -- that maybe unattainable goal of uniformity and -- and -- and avoiding disparate treatment as -- as required by 3553.

When -- when -- when one does that, when one looks at the unique circumstances, personal background of Mr. Meija, yes, Mr. Meija was one of the most active talkers of this group. Mr. Meija's role was -- was critically important to the group's success and sustainability. I understand that and Mr. Meija understands that.

But regardless of what arguments were made as it relates to other defendants, Mr. Meija was arrested and gave a full confession almost immediately. When he was brought to this district, he sat down with counsel, myself, with the government and gave a full and complete debriefing. He offered passwords, he offered information, he offered active cooperation if they were, in fact, motivated to get it.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. SATAWA: You start from a place of a young man who as a child had to live with the guilt and the suffering of his own mother having died during childbirth. He grew up after that in a house where his stepmother viciously and violently abused him, in a family that had little or no money. And despite those things, after coming to this country, and again, Your Honor, coming to this country without status, which means he will be -- and I don't know why I put in my sentencing memorandum Canada -- Canada; it's obviously Mexico. I -- I -- that's just a typographical error, Your Honor, that I apologize for. Mr. Meija is going to be deported back to -- to Mexico upon his release, to go back to a country he's never known without family who is basically all here.

Despite that background, despite that environment, where he himself, in fact, attempted suicide by cutting his wrists due to the abuse by his stepmother, he himself suffered from psychological problems as a teenager, serious

```
psychological problems as a teenager, became a -- a valuable employee. The -- the comments by his boss at the time of his arrest are part of the Pre-Sentence Report and quoted in my sentencing memorandum.
```

Your Honor, I think that when one examines the history and characteristics of Mr. Meija as a defendant, the idea of a sincere amount of remorse and a significant acceptance of responsibility for his actions jumps off the page and really, really is highlighted by -- by -- by the actions that Mr. Meija took upon his arrest and in the immediate aftermath of his arrest and early in this case.

No -- at no point has Mr. Meija to myself, to the Court or to the government has ever tried to justify or explain away his actions. This is an individual who has wholeheartedly embraced what he has done and understands he has a part to play.

Your Honor, his -- his background and characteristics, particularly again the fact that he is a true offender, first-time offender without a criminal record, speak to a -- a -- a -- speak to a variance ground that this Court should seriously consider.

Finally, Your Honor, I'd like to say this. Unlike I believe every other defendant in this case who can benefit from the sex offender treatment program at Butner, who's going to get good time credit, who is going to be eligible for 54 days a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
year for good time credit and perhaps reductions in the
sentence for completion of various programs including the sex
offender treatment program offered at Butner and other
facilities in this -- in the BOP, Mr. Meija is ineligible for
almost all of them due to his -- his status as -- as a
deportable alien. He is going to do by any projection day to
day, day for day for his -- his -- whatever -- whatever this
Court sentences him. He is 54 days per year times the length
of his sentence behind every other defendant in this case.
when this Court fashions a sentence that is unique to Mr. Meija
under 3553, I think that's something this Court really has to
take into account because over ten years that's 540 days. Over
20 years that's over a thousand. You know, over 30 years,
that's, again, over 15 or 1600 days that Mr. Meija sits in jail
or in custody longer than the co-defendants because of that
status as a deportable alien.
         Judge, the question is not where this crime fits in
the greater spectrum of crimes. It is at the top as Mr.
Mulcahy said. It is at the top of the pyramid and it's at the
top of the pyramid because Congress says it belongs there,
because the Sentencing Commission says it belongs there,
because society says it belongs there, and it's at the top of
the pyramid for a reason.
         But within that tip of the pyramid, where does Mr.
Meija fall? Does he fall at life or does he fall at 20 years?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
And, of course, I don't think the Court is at all surprised by
every defendant came in to court asking for a 20-year sentence,
which is, of course, the mandatory minimum this Court must
give.
         But when this Court looks at the unique circumstances
of Mr. Meija, I believe that a sentence of 20 years is
justified in his case for all the reasons I've said here today
and for all the reasons contained in my sentence -- sentencing
memorandum.
         And I thank Your Honor, I thank you for Your Honor's
time and consideration.
         THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Satawa.
And I will not forget to thank you for your hard work
throughout the representation of your client through the case
and for taking the appointment. The Court greatly appreciates
quality lawyers like you representing indigent defendants as
well, so thank you.
         And let me now turn to Mr. Meija. Sir, you have the
right to make any statement on your own behalf in addition to
or combined with your lawyer's statements as to the sentence
and anything else you'd like to say. Go right ahead. You'd
move the microphone. Okay.
                             Thank you, sir.
         DEFENDANT DOMINGUEZ-MEIJA: First of all, how you
doing, sir? I'm not going to make excuses for what I did and I
```

know I hurt a lot of people, especially victims, their parents,

```
their families. And I went through something similar so I
 1
 2
     should have, you know, not done anything like that, but it's a
     thin line, I crossed it, and I just deserve what's coming my
 3
     way. I'm not going to make excuses. I'm sorry.
 4
              I'm giving away part of my life. This payment is not
 5
              I know my life is probably worth nothing for them.
 6
     But, you know, I just want to tell them that I'm sorry. I
 7
 8
     never meant to hurt anybody. I didn't think about the -- the
 9
     volume, the -- the -- the level that I -- of -- of the
     crime that I was making, and all I can say is that I'm sorry,
10
11
     no excuse for what I did.
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
              DEFENDANT DOMINGUEZ-MEIJA: Thank you.
13
              THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for those
14
     remarks.
15
              Ms. Russo, on behalf of the United States.
16
              MS. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
              I guess I would like to just incorporate the victim
18
     impact statements that were made today on the record and
19
     Exhibits A through F into this sentencing hearing just in the
20
21
     event that they aren't already incorporated.
22
              I want to start with talking a little bit about some
23
     of these arguments about the sentencing guidelines that have
     come up in the sentencing memo and again here today, Your
24
     Honor. A lot of the -- the criticisms that were cited in
25
```

```
the -- in the sentencing memo deal with receipt and distribution of child pornography cases, and I think my colleague, Mr. Mulcahy, has made very clear that this is just an entirety -- entirely different animal. This case obviously involves production, not receipt or distribution. It involves the exact sort of case that actually the writers of the sentencing guidelines and the Commission have found would warrant additional sentencing enhancements.
```

So maybe perhaps the use of a computer enhancement, for example, might be something that the Court and the Commission have been critical of. However, when you look at the additional enhancements that the Commission has requested for things like the content of the videos and images on an offender's devices, the offender's conduct and the level of sexually charged interactions with minors an offender has, the degree of an offender's engagement with other offenders, Your Honor, you would find that all of the suggestions that the Commission has about applying additional enhancements would be applicable in this case. And so, Your Honor, I assure you, regardless of whether we took out the use of a computer enhancement and added those enhancements in, these defendants' guidelines are all appropriately life in prison.

The defense makes some arguments about child exploitation enterprise and suggests that every child exploitation enterprise case is going to have a guideline of

```
life imprisonment because of the 4B1.5(b) enhancement, Your Honor, but that's just not true. We have a spectrum of child exploitation enterprise cases. The predicates for child exploitation enterprise count can include distribution of child pornography that a defendant's downloaded on the Internet; they can include receipt of child pornography; they can include access with intent to view child pornography.
```

Your Honor, none of those situations, if — if that were the type of child exploitation enterprise case we were having, if these defendants got together and for a week maybe traded some child pornography that they found online, that would still be a very serious offense and it would still warrant the 20-year mandatory minimum, the fact that they were working together to do this, but their guidelines wouldn't be life in that situation and the 4B1.5 enhancement wouldn't apply.

So when defense counsel says that the base Offense Level for CEE is 40, they're incorrect. The base Offense Level, the starting Offense Level is 35. In this case we do have a 4B1.5(b) enhancement for a pattern of activity involving the production of child pornography, not distribution, not receipt, not access with intent to view. And that's why the guidelines are life, because there aren't two victims in this case, because there are hundreds of victims in this case; because there aren't two victims of distribution or access with

```
intent to view; because these are victims of production because
the defendants recorded them engaging in sexual activity in the
first instance.
```

The defense also makes an argument about 4B1.5(a) and suggests that the guidelines shouldn't be life in this case because the defendant doesn't have a prior sex offense conviction and the guidelines, the 4B1.5(b) enhancement, shouldn't apply for that reason, Your Honor.

But there is a separate enhancement that would have applied in this case had any of these defendants had a prior conviction for a sex offense, and not enhancement actually would have increased their Criminal History Category to a V, and so instead of being a Criminal History Category I, they would have been a V. They didn't get that enhancement because they don't have that prior offense. So that has already been taken into account by the Commission when they wrote the quidelines.

So then let's go to the nature and circumstances of this offense, Your Honor. It warrants life imprisonment.

That — there's no doubt about that. And for many of the defendants who haven't been sentenced by Your Honor, perhaps the other two that are involved in this conspiracy and others down the line, we may be asking for life sentences because that is what the guidelines call for.

In this situation we did not ask for a life sentence,

even though that is what Mr. Dominguez deserves, because of two things. We did not ask for a life sentence for Mr. Dominguez and instead ask today for a 40-year sentence, Your Honor, for him because of his history and characteristics, which defense has talked a little bit about. He did have a childhood where he was physically abused, and the government has taken that into account in not recommending life for him.

And the other factor that the government took into account is that Mr. Dominguez did come to the government, did cooperate, and that cooperation did lead to strengthening the probable cause and an additional search warrant for an additional defendant, Your Honor. And so that cooperation did assist the government, and in that way the government feels like that should be taken into account by Your Honor.

However, Your Honor, those things aside, this defendant is the most aggressive of the talkers of this group that has so far been convicted. He had horrible, egregious content on his devices. I would say that him and Mr. Kovac had the worst content of any of these six individuals in terms of his devices. He is the only defendant that recorded a minor cutting her arms and bleeding profusely while having her shirt taken off, Your Honor. He is the only defendant of the six that we found a video like that on. He has many bestiality videos that he personally recorded.

And there's something else that sets him apart in a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
negative way from the other co-conspirators here today, Your
Honor, and that is that this defendant was incredibly
sophisticated. He used a VPN to anonymize his identity and to
make it harder for law enforcement to detect him, and as a
result, for many years law enforcement did not detect him.
         And so I think that those are the aggravating factors
for this defendant.
         He admitted as well upon the day of his arrest that
not only did he use this VPN but that he also accessed the dark
web, and he did so in order, in his words, to watch little
girls being raped by their fathers. That is why he did that.
         So these are the numbers for Mr. Dominguez: 15,735
child exploitive images and videos. What do we mean by that?
We mean that these are videos that may not constitute child
              These are videos of these girls at their homes,
pornography.
playing, painting their nails, sleeping, dancing, those types
of videos, or it could be videos of girls who are taking off
their shirts but not necessarily engaging in the type of sexual
activity that under the federal definition meets child
pornography requirements.
         The longest video we had, Your Honor, was 25 hours
and 37 minutes long of one of these girls. The total length of
the video collection he had was 1,820 hours. 9,024 child
pornography videos total, Your Honor.
         These are the types of chats he had. So when he
```

```
tells me that he's remorseful and that he did not want to hurt these girls, it's -- it's hard for me to believe that because this is a chat with MV-8, Your Honor. This is a 14-year-old child and this is the defendant trying to get her to engage in sexual activity with her pet dog. You heard from MV-8's father today, Your Honor. And this is the sort of things that he would say to these young girls.
```

His attitude towards the victims, whether he cared or knew what they were cutting or suicidal, he absolutely did know. Here we have a co-defendant's, Massey, saying, "How do girls learn about cutting, do they talk about it in school? Hey, girls don't do this. They can't all just randomly figure it out themselves." And his response, this defendant's response is to put in a laughing emotion about this. This is like a discussion that they're having about these children harming themselves and laughing about it.

And, Your Honor, when Mr. Mulcahy says we have given you a small sliver of the chats, we have 16,000 pages of chats with these defendants. We have given you the smallest of slivers.

In terms of the videos he had of some of the minors that you heard from today, Your Honor, he had 26 videos of Minor Victim 8. You heard from her father.

He had 18 videos of Minor Victim 35 who spoke to you herself and her mom spoke to you as well.

He had 12 videos of Minor Victim 11. This is the 14-year-old girl who attempted suicide during the pendency of this case. It's Exhibit F in your victim impact statements that we submitted to you this morning, Your Honor.

Video titles he had included horribly explicit things. The one of this girl self-harming, Your Honor, he entitled "MV Cutting Arms and Covered in Blood."

He also argues to Your Honor that this is aberrant behavior. He should get a downward departure because this is just something that happened and it's just one offense and he has no criminal history. Your Honor, that argument is frankly ridiculous. This defendant was doing this every day for four years for hours at a time. And if we were to just try to do some numbers and some math on that, one victim every day for four years equates to 1,460 federal crimes. That's how many crimes this defendant committed. Now, is it just one victim a night? Oh, absolutely not, Your Honor. These defendants were targeting seven or eight girls per night that they were on doing this activity.

So when we think about this decision, this is not a spontaneous decision, it's not an impulsive mistake that this defendant made one night. It is a decision he chose to make again and again and again and again each and every time he recorded one of these minors, each and every time he logged on to social media to talk to one of them, and each and every time

```
he coordinated with his fellow group members to figure out how best to get these 13, 14, 15, 16-year-old girls to unrobe and to engage in sexual activity.
```

So when we talk about promoting respect for the law and specific deterrence and specifically risk of recidivism in these sorts of cases, Your Honor, I think the Sentencing Commission's comments about those offenders who are most likely to commit future offenses are helpful.

And the Sentencing Commission has said a number of things. They've laid out the fact that if there's child pornography on multiple devices, if the defendants have used it for purposes of masturbation, if they maintain larger collections than other offenders, and if they communicate with other child pornography offenders. We know that's true of each of these defendants, and so they are in the highest risk category for recidivism and future offenses.

And I think the Court has heard some studies from defense suggesting that there are low rates of recidivism in this case. And, Your Honor, you — you might be wondering why does the government have these studies that say there's over a 50 percent chance of recidivism and why does the defense have these studies that say 4 percent, 5 percent chance?

So I just want to explain that difference a little bit, Your Honor, because the defense studies are based on conviction data whereas a lot of the government's studies that

```
are -- that are saying 50 percent or more rates of recidivism
 1
 2
     are based on self-report, in other words, a defendant who has
     admitted his prior behavior, and so that's where this
 3
     discrepancy comes in.
 4
               And so which sort of studies should you rely on?
 5
     I would submit that the government studies are more reliable,
 6
     Your Honor, because as you know, only 5 percent of victims in
 7
 8
     these cases actually report when something has occurred. Many
 9
     of these girls, until the FBI came to their house, their mom
     and their dad had no idea that this was happening, and there
10
11
     are a multitude of reasons why these young girls did not tell
12
     their parents what was going on.
               And so I would submit when you look at studies of
13
14
     recidivism, to keep that in mind, that the defense studies and
15
```

the evaluations they've used and the tools they've used are based on conviction data and not on actual data of the number of offenses that an -- an offender has.

Another argument that you have heard over and over again came up in Kovac's sentencing and it's come up again as --

THE COURT: You don't need to say it if I've heard it over and over again, please.

MS. RUSSO: Yes, Your Honor.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My only point here is that there is absolutely no empirical basis to account for age in sex offenders when

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to object.

```
assessing future risk of re-offending, especially in an offense
                                                           They
like this, Your Honor, where someone just had a computer.
can easily do that when they're in their 70s or 80s.
         So the way I'd like to just conclude, Your Honor, is
when we talk about protection of the public with respect to
these victims, this case is so hard to quantify in terms of the
harm that was done. But if you took even one of these victims
and you tried to think what's the appropriate sentence just
based on the damage that one of these offenders has done to
that victim, and then you thought about the fact that there's
not just one victim, there's not just two, there's not three,
there's hundreds, some of whom you've heard from and some of
whom you haven't, I think the appropriate sentence in this case
is 40 years.
         Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you very much, Ms. Russo, and thank
you for advocacy on behalf of the United States as well.
         All righty. I think we have discussed all relevant
legal issues and we are ready to impose sentence. I will state
the sentence and then give both of the lawyers an opportunity
```

I spoke on the record about deterrence, punishment and protection of the public when Mr. Kovac was here. Obviously the Sentencing Commission has determined that very few circumstances would justify ever releasing an individual

with these specific factors being outside -- outside of prison.

I really believe that individuals who engage in child exploitation enterprises are dangers to the community, the significance of which to deter themselves and others from committing these types of crimes should be life in prison without further opportunity. But again, in discretion and in conscience, I find that some sort of variance to an individual without prior criminal history is in order.

Mr. Satawa makes some points which I think support variance here and a couple of others that I noted on my own. The defendant did come from a -- a poor childhood and had not only a mother pass away during his childbirth but -- but also physical abuse by his father's significant others -- other.

In addition to that, I think it is quite evident from not only the lack of a personal written statement but the way the defendant presents himself in — in court, I think his education is certainly less than what we see in some other members of the conspiracy, and I think that's all due or that aspect, of course, is due to the fact that he is a — not a citizen of the United States but born here and obtained status in the country without naturalization.

Mr. Satawa makes a very good point that custodially he'll be treated differently and more aggressively by the Bureau of Prisons than other co-defendants who are citizens, so I think he should get some mild credit for that as well.

Nevertheless, this was a repulsive offense with an extensive amount of criminal activity that the government went over and needs no further buttressing.

Again, I'm going to note, and I think this might be a factor that we see throughout these sentences, I'm going to give Mr. Meija a sentence — because I believe he should get a life sentence, but I'm going to give him a sentence that's less of a departure and therefore longer of a sentence than I gave to Mr. Kovac, but puzzlingly, he will emerge from prison at a younger age than Mr. Kovac does. So I'm trying to balance those factors as well.

Therefore, pursuant to the Sentence Reform Act of 1984, the Court, having considered the sentence guidelines and factors contained in 18 USC, Section 3553(a), hereby commits the defendant, Felipe Dominguez-Meija, to the custody of the U.S. Bureau of Prisons for a term of 492 months.

It's further recommended that the defendant be designated to an institution with a comprehensive sexual offender treatment program.

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be placed on a supervised release term of five years.

It's further ordered that the defendant pay a special assessment of a hundred dollars. That will be due immediately. And a Justice For Victims of Trafficking, JVTA, assessment of \$5,000.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
I am aware that the parties agreed to restitution in
the amount of $5,000 per identified victim and I will therefore
order restitution in the amount of $235,000. I will waive any
interest, penalty and fees that may accrue on that restitution
         It's also joint and several. Is it joint and several?
         MS. RUSSO:
                     Um --
         THE COURT:
                     Should I be saying that or not?
         MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, it's not joint and several.
         THE COURT:
                    All right.
                     For -- and -- and it is a little bit
         MS. RUSSO:
different for Mr. Dominguez because he wasn't in the two
groups.
         THE COURT: Yeah.
         MS. RUSSO: So his total amount would be 215,000, and
the parties will prepare a stipulation though for Your Honor.
         THE COURT: 215,000 at $5,000 per identified victim,
not joint and several.
         No fine, no costs of incarceration, no costs of
supervision because the defendant has no financial resources.
         While in custody, participation in the Inmate
Financial Responsibility Program is ordered.
                                              The Court is
aware of the requirements of that program and I will approve
the payment schedule of the program and order the defendant's
compliance.
         Mandatory drug testing will be suspended based on my
```

```
determination that Mr. Meija poses a low risk of future
 1
     substance abuse.
 2
               While on supervision, Mr. Meija shall abide by the
 3
     standard conditions adopted by the U.S. District Court for this
 4
     district and he must comply with all of the 20 -- excuse me,
 5
     all of the -- well, let me just summarize. These special
 6
     conditions will be ordered in Mr. Meija's case.
 7
                                                       I believe
 8
     there are 14 of them and I believe they track exactly those
 9
     that were given to Mr. Kovac so I'm not going to go over them
     in depth.
10
11
               But compliance with the SORNA.
               Successful completion of diagnostic evaluations,
12
     treatment and polygraphs.
13
               Periodic polygraphic testing by the probation
14
     officer.
15
               No association with minor children.
16
               Notification of anyone who he marries of this
17
     particular conviction.
18
               No purchasing, sale or possession of any sort of
19
     pornographic image.
20
               Employment pre-approved by the Probation Department.
21
22
               Residences pre-approved by the Probation Department.
23
               And then participation in the Computer/Internet
24
     Monitoring Program, CIMP.
25
               The defendant must also submit his person to a search
```

```
if reasonably assessed by the Probation Department.
 1
               No contact of any sort with any victim.
 2
               Due to the restitution amount, no credit charges or
 3
     open lines of credit.
 4
               Any financial information requested by the probation
 5
     officer shall be turned over.
 6
               And monthly installment payments on any remaining
 7
     balance of the fine -- of the special assessment.
 8
 9
               Now, those conditions were all stated in depth and in
     great detail when I sentenced Mr. Kovac. Mr. Meija was here
10
11
     for that, and therefore I will incorporate and adopt those by
12
     reference for Mr. Meija as well.
               Is there any objection to the sentence I just gave,
13
14
     Ms. Russo?
15
               MS. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Mr. Satawa?
               MR. SATAWA: No, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Thank you very much. The sentence
18
     that I just imposed will be -- excuse me, that I just stated
19
     will be imposed without objection.
20
21
               The defendant has waived his right to appeal his
     conviction within his Plea Agreement. He has also waived the
22
23
     right to appeal his sentence because the sentence that I gave
     was below the guideline term that was called for within the
24
25
     Plea Agreement.
```

```
Mr. Meija, if you believe you have the right to
 1
     appeal notwithstanding that waiver, which most courts honor,
 2
     you would have to take that up directly with the U.S. Court of
 3
     Appeals.
 4
               The defendant will be remanded to the custody of the
 5
     marshal for continued care pending the service of his sentence.
 6
 7
               Complete copies of the Pre-Sentence Report will be
 8
     sent to the Bureau of Prisons and the Sentencing Commission.
 9
     All other copies will be kept strictly confidential as is the
     practice of the district.
10
11
               Is there anything else from Ms. Russo?
12
               MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, I'll move to dismiss Counts 2
     through 6 of the indictment at this time.
13
               THE COURT: Okay. Those are dismissed without
14
     objection.
15
16
               Anything else from Mr. Satawa?
               MR. SATAWA: No, Your Honor.
17
18
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Thank you. And good luck to you,
     Mr. Meija.
19
20
               DEFENDANT DOMINGUEZ-MEIJA: Thank you.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All righty.
22
               (Proceedings concluded at 12:58 p.m.)
23
24
25
```

```
Detroit, Michigan
 1
 2
               Tuesday, July 17, 2018
 3
               (Proceedings commenced at 12:58 p.m., all parties
 4
 5
               present)
                          Moving forward, Mr. Massey.
 6
               THE COURT:
                          We can do Mr. Robinson, Your Honor.
 7
               MS. RUSSO:
               THE COURT: Why do you want to do Mr. Robinson
 8
 9
     instead of Mr. Massey?
               MR. HAYES: May I approach with Ms. Russo?
10
11
               THE COURT: You want this on the record or off the
     record?
12
               MR. HAYES: Off the record.
13
               (Brief discussion held off the record)
14
               THE COURT: So remind me, Ms. Russo, we're going with
15
     Mr. Robinson?
16
               MS. RUSSO: Yes, we are, Your Honor.
17
                          Okay. You can stand at the microphone,
18
               THE COURT:
     Mr. Robinson, and your counsel, you can unload, and whenever
19
20
     you're ready to go let me know, okay?
21
                           Thank you, Judge.
               MR. HAYES:
                           Thank you.
22
               THE COURT:
23
               (Brief pause)
               MR. HAYES: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
24
25
               THE COURT: Good afternoon to you.
```

```
Certainly I'd like to start again by --
 1
              MR. HAYES:
 2
              THE COURT REPORTER: Counsel, could you please give
     your appearance?
 3
              MR. HAYES: Sorry. Charles Hayes, Your Honor, for
 4
     Eric Robinson.
 5
              THE COURT:
 6
                          Okay.
                          And first of all, we'd like to again, as
 7
              MR. HAYES:
     our colleagues have done previously, incorporate our sentencing
 8
 9
     memorandum into today's record as well.
               THE COURT:
10
                           Yes.
11
              MR. HAYES: And I'd also like to point out, Your
     Honor, to the Court today Mr. Robinson's sister, brother,
12
     ex-wife and mother have made the drive to -- from Minnesota
13
14
             I did want to acknowledge their presence in the
     courtroom today.
15
16
              THE COURT: I'm glad you did. Thank you very much.
     And welcome to the family of Mr. Robinson.
17
               I -- I don't think -- I think this is merely a typo,
18
     but the Pre-Sentence Report says that the defense lawyer was
19
     Mr. Walker, and I know you filed an appearance and you're here
20
21
     and I did receive your sentencing memorandum along with all the
     exhibits. I just want to make sure, is that -- who was here at
22
23
     the plea?
              MR. HAYES: Mr. Walker was here at the guilty plea
24
25
     hearing, Your Honor. I was retained subsequent to that event.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. Okav. Good.
                                                     That would make
 1
 2
             Then we will have Mr. Hayes added as counsel of record
     by Ms. Irizarry in the Pre-Sentence Report, okay?
 3
              THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. I appreciate
     that.
 6
 7
              All righty. Let me turn to Mr. Robinson directly and
     ask you, sir, whether or not you've had the opportunity to read
 8
 9
     over the entire Pre-Sentence Report with your lawyer, Mr.
     Hayes, have it explained to you and look at any changes that
10
     have been made after it first came out?
11
12
              DEFENDANT ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. Very good. As with the previous
13
14
     two defendants that were here, there appear to be no objections
15
     to the Pre-Sentence Report. And there was -- there was an
     addition that was made April 10 that included a couple of
16
     statements from Mr. Robinson which I have -- which I have read
17
18
     that deals with the service provider of psychological records
     and, again, Mr. Robinson's statements.
19
20
              Is there anything about the Pre-Sentence Report that
21
     I haven't addressed or that you'd like to correct, object to or
22
     otherwise be heard on, Mr. Hayes?
23
              MR. HAYES: No, Your Honor.
                         Okay. Ms. -- Mr. -- Ms. -- Ms. Russo?
24
              THE COURT:
25
              MS. RUSSO: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
Anything on the Pre-Sentence Report?
 1
              THE COURT:
              MS. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Very good. The Offense Level
 3
     is 43, the Criminal History Category is I. The guideline
 4
     provisions at that level along with the Plea Agreement call for
 5
     a life sentence in the case.
 6
               I will make the factual findings of the probation
 7
 8
     officer in this particular Pre-Sentence Report the findings of
 9
     the Court for purposes of this proceeding only.
              And I will, so that we don't get off track, state
10
11
     that Mr. Hayes filed a 29-page sentencing memorandum on behalf
12
     of his client. That contains a stack of 17 letters, of
     psychological matters and other issues that would aid the Court
13
14
     in sentencing and certainly do so.
               In addition to that, Mr. Robinson on the 13th, which
15
16
     was last Friday, filed a number of supplemental exhibits,
     donation history, sample donations that he's made, CV of
17
     Jennifer White, all of which I have received and reviewed as
18
     well.
19
               I should note -- I -- I don't want to make too big of
20
21
     a deal of this, but -- but I should note that the donation
     history actually evidences donations to the National Center For
22
23
     Missing and Exploited Children which I think is -- is quite
24
     good.
              And of course I have the government's entire
25
```

```
sentencing memorandum. I have the government's supplemental
 1
 2
     memorandum and reply to Mr. Hayes' memorandum, which I've read.
     And I heard from the victims today.
 3
               I have the Preliminary Order of Forfeiture.
 4
               I have the stipulation as to restitution.
 5
               I don't think there's any grounds for a fine, costs
 6
     of incarceration or anything of that nature given the
 7
 8
     defendant's financial situation.
 9
              And I think I've covered the waterfront and we're
     ready to hear from Mr. Robinson's lawyer, Mr. Hayes.
10
11
     defendant through counsel has the right to make any remark or
12
     set of remarks on his own behalf as to the sentence or in
     mitigation. Again, I've read everything I mentioned and I'd be
13
14
     clear -- glad to hear anything else you have to say at this
15
     time, Mr. Hayes. Go right ahead.
16
              MR. HAYES:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
               I think preliminarily I should mention I did have an
17
     opportunity to review Ms. Russo's reply brief to my sentencing
18
     memorandum, and I had erroneously seen on the PSI from
19
20
     calculating Mr. Fuller's sentence as 360 months as opposed to a
21
     420-month aggregate sentence, and so she was correct on that so
22
     I did want to address that with the Court.
23
              THE COURT: Okay.
24
              MR. HAYES: Which if you do the math, then on the
25
     average sentence of the defendants in that indictment from --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kovacs.

```
again, from the PSR would be 322 months instead of 312 months,
and so I thought it was important that I -- I do correct it.
         I would like to point out that Mr. Fuller's sentence
I believe came after a lengthy jury trial in which certainly
there were multiple victims or victims' family members who had
to testify at a lengthy trial for the 420-month sentence that
he ultimately did receive.
         I don't want to spend much time, Your Honor,
discussing the policy arguments. I think some of my colleagues
have already done a good job of contextualizing, and, of
course, they would have been included in our sentencing
memorandum.
         But instead I'd kind of like to focus on what I
believe to be a fair question here, Your Honor, is why should
my client get a better sentence than his co-defendants, and I
think that's a fair question the Court essentially was
pondering when it ultimately administered the sentence to Mr.
```

I'd like to look at three separate things, Your
Honor, but I think it's important that I address what I believe
to be the appropriate sentence today, Your Honor, and that's a
three-level variance from his 43, his calculated guidelines
score of 43, which would put him into Level 40, which would
have a minimum sentence at Level 40 of 292 months. And I look
at three real points here, Your Honor, of why a three-level

variance seems appropriate in Mr. Robinson's situation.

And I start with remorse, repentance and rehabilitation, Your Honor. I think those three often go hand in hand but don't always. It's obviously pretty easy for courts, defense attorneys, prosecutors to be somewhat cynical when a defendant comes up here and makes an allocution or writes a letter to the Court. And certainly the victims have every right to be cynical as — as for what a particular defendant's remorse is for his actions, and ultimately it's in the eye of the beholder on what you believe to be a remorseful person and who you believe is just trying to — to act the way that they feel is necessary in front of a judge or in front of a court.

Certainly I would start by discussing the victim impact statements today. I'm sure everyone in the courtroom could see the genuine remorse on my client's face as he listened to the -- the horrific details of what he and his co-conspirators did to these victims and their family and even right now as Mr. Robinson stands next to me. But remorse in -- in itself is -- is often hollow because we can say whatever we want, we can act in different ways, but it's really how we process our remorse in making ourselves a better person and to repent, to repent for what we have done.

And I'm glad the Court has already pointed out in the sentencing memorandum we filed the donations that Mr. Robinson

```
made to the National Center For Missing and Exploited Children, and it's important to discuss that because the timing of when he started doing that was in the fall of 2016 which was essentially three months after the search warrant was served on his residence and about seven months or eight months before he was ultimately arrested or, excuse me, nine months before he was ultimately arrested in August of 2017.
```

But when he started doing this, he was at a pretty low point in life, Your Honor. He -- he had a failed business that he'd had to declare bankruptcy for as a result of -- of a lot of issues, but certainly a lot that had to do with his behavior. His wife filed for divorce and he was struggling, and financially this was not a -- a time where it would be easy for someone to make contributions to this organization. And, yeah, Judge, I understand it's not a ton of money, but for Mr. Robinson at the time it certainly was. But more importantly, it was his way of trying to redeem himself and repent for what he had previously done. And again, Your Honor, this was well before certainly I ever became involved in this case, well before he had counsel in this case and well before these charges ever came forward.

So it's certainly easy for people to make life-changing decisions when the Court has officially intervened, and that wasn't the case here. This was Mr. Robinson trying to use his remorse to -- to do good things and

```
to try to help what he knew he had done to children previously.

The other thing, Your Honor, that -- that is

important with remorse is ensuring that you don't continue the
```

behavior that constituted your -- not only your violation of

5 | law but -- but really in this situation allowed Mr. Robinson to

devolve for a period of three or four years into a soulless

7 man, and he readily admits that.

And instead of thinking back on that and just feeling guilty about it or feeling bad about it, which he clearly does, he did something about it. He started going to AA in the early summer of 2016 and shortly thereafter began getting treatment for not only his alcohol addictions but also his -- his Internet addictions but also the -- the problems that he has with -- with his sexuality. And he attended meetings, as -- as the Court is well aware in the evaluation, for over a year, weekly meetings. I think he attended something like 53 meetings, Your Honor, over the course of little over a year with -- with Ms. White.

And she did at -- at the conclusion of -- of meeting with him -- of course, his -- his meetings with her were interrupted by his arrest in this case and he's remained in custody since August of 2017, but certainly she provided an analysis report. And again, it is just a projection, Your Honor. It's -- it's based off of studies certainly, and as all studies are, maybe they're not all infallible.

But what's important to note is -- is this came from a licensed clinician in this field but not someone who is just a hired gun, Your Honor, by my office to -- to -- to file a report on Mr. Robinson. This is a person she had treated for over a year, well before he had any clue on how severe his penal punishments would be in this case. He was doing this on his own volition and not only to remedy the problems that he had caused but to make sure that they never happened again, and by all accounts, he had done just that when he was arrested. And that's in some ways part of the tragedy of this whole case is that when Mr. Robinson finally gets his act together, here we are, and that's of his own fault.

And he's not here to make any excuses, Your Honor, for what he did. He understands that he can't use his alcoholism or his depression as a crutch for his actions. They're just part of his life story, Your Honor. But I think that story, Your Honor, his remorse, his repentance, his rehabilitation, that's certainly at least one level off as a variance.

Mr. Robinson has been an -- an avid cooperator in this case. Certainly, again, cooperation sometimes ends up in the eye of the beholder, Your Honor, because we can cooperate all we want, we can try and provide as much information as possible to the government. Ultimately we can't be the ones who necessarily are the ones who give the substantial

assistance that -- that get other people arrested or convicted.

But certainly Mr. Robinson has done everything he could, everything he can, and continues to cooperate with the government. He's made himself available to testify in grand juries or in future trials. As recently as within the last month continues to provide information to the government. And again, whether there's a formal 5K1 filed, Your Honor, I think certainly his cooperation, his intentions to continue to cooperate in the event any — any future defendants are arrested certainly is — is worth at least one level off for a variance.

And, Your Honor, the -- the third point that needs to be discussed is certainly his -- his characteristics as a person. He's struggled with depression, Your Honor. And again, that's not an excuse because instead of seeking professional treatment, he instead isolated himself and drank inordinate amounts of alcohol as a numbing effect.

But he also, Your Honor, does have -- like I said, he has not had the easiest upbringing as we discussed in the sentencing memorandum. Certainly has a loving family, but as everybody in this courtroom is aware, that doesn't mean that everything's been perfect. He was the victim of -- of a sexual abuse issue himself.

And it's also important to discuss what his role in the conspiracy was, Your Honor, and certainly his actions were

```
deplorable, inexcusable. But he was Defendant Number 6 in this case in -- in -- large part from when you look at the government's memorandum because he didn't seem to be as aggressive or as targeting as some of the individuals and certainly wasn't as mean spirited as some of these other people.
```

And that -- again, that has nothing to do, Your

Honor, with his acceptance of responsibility or justifying

his -- his actions, but it's important that you distinguish him

from some of the other people in this conspiracy because

ultimately, Your Honor, that is a -- a difficult thing for the

Court to do is say why this person should get less time than

some other person when the sum of the parts in this case is so

horrific and so terrible.

Your Honor, we're asking for a three-level variance which we believe is appropriate given his remorse, his repentance, his rehabilitation, his ongoing cooperation and the mitigation of his life history as well as taking into account his actual role in the conspiracy.

And given, Your Honor, that he does have children, certainly this hits home probably more to him than anybody else. I think one of the — the mothers earlier today really made some very poignant things to Mr. Robinson that he's been feeling for a long time. Having to address this with his children is probably worse than anything the Court can do

```
today, and he brought it upon himself, Your Honor.
 1
 2
              But given he has children, given his aging mother,
     we're requesting a 292-month sentence.
 3
              And I think at this point, Your Honor, I think it'd
 4
     be appropriate for Mr. Robinson to give his allocution if
 5
     that's okay.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. That's great. Thank you, Mr.
     Hayes.
 8
 9
               I would like to note -- I understand your argument.
     As I mentioned, the range is 43, Level I. Each of the factors
10
11
     you argue would result in a diminution of the overall range of
12
     one point, so you'd be looking at 40, Base Level 40 or Overall
     Level 40, Criminal History I, which is 292 to 365, and that
13
14
     forms the basis at the lower end of your sentencing request
15
     which is tied to the guidelines and I think a very well thought
16
     out argument.
               I -- I hate to ask, but it'll be my only opportunity,
17
     you are from Indianapolis. Are you a former AUSA or are you a
18
     former -- what's your history?
19
20
              MR. HAYES:
                          I was -- Your Honor, I have my own
21
     practice in Indianapolis and I used to work with a former AUSA.
22
     She's since moved to Florida.
23
              THE COURT: You're retained here I take it?
              MR. HAYES: I am, Your Honor.
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. All right. Very good. Thank you
```

```
for your work.
 1
              MR. HAYES: And Judge, if I made just add one thing
 2
     based off of -- I quess it's a change in my sentencing
 3
     memorandum a little bit. Given that he's been in prison now
 4
     for -- incarcerated for an extra month from when I actually
 5
     drafted this, I think I had used 291 as a magic number and I
 6
     think that would be 292.
 7
 8
              THE COURT: That's fine.
 9
              MR. HAYES: I just wanted to bring that to the
     Court's attention. Thank you.
10
11
               THE COURT: He'll get the credit for that regardless.
     Okay. Thank you for all that, Mr. Hayes.
12
              And now Mr. Robinson, of course you have the
13
14
     opportunity to make any remarks you'd like to make on your --
15
     your own behalf. I know that Mr. Robinson is in some anguish
16
     and I think we have tissues there for you if you need them. I
     have read your statement in the sentence memorandum, but
17
18
     anything else you'd like to say, go right ahead.
                                   Thank you, Your Honor.
19
              DEFENDANT ROBINSON:
20
              Your Honor, today I stand before you with an
21
     abundance of quilt, regret and shame resulting from the sick
22
     and terrible crimes that I've committed.
23
              Before going any further, I feel it is of the utmost
     importance that I offer my sincere apologies to all of the
24
```

victims of my crimes.

25

It has been over two years since the search warrant was executed at my property during which State of Minnesota and local law enforcement officials seized computers and electronic devices from my home. Since that day, a day has not gone by in which I have not felt an enormous amount of guilt for the pain I have surely caused the victims of my crimes and their families. I've thought literally thousands of times if I could rewind my life, I would certainly do whatever necessary to avoid -- sorry -- participating in the behavior that I was taking part in.

I do not at all enjoy the thought of causing another person either physical or emotional pain. In fact, it makes me very sad that my thinking came to be so distorted that I was seeing humans as simply emotionless objects.

My actions were extremely wrong, sickening and worthy of a stiff punishment. The guilt and shame I feel daily for everyone I have hurt will always serve as a reminder of my actions. I am certain I will regret my actions deeply until the day that I die.

To all of the victims and their families, friends and any of their loved ones that I have affected through my crimes, I'm truly sorry.

I promise that for rest of my life I will assist law enforcement in any way possible so that the instances of this type of crime may be reduced.

As a father of two children myself, I realize there may be nothing I can possibly do to redeem myself in the eyes of the victims, the law, the victims' families and even society as a whole, but again, if there is anything I can do to assist the prevention of this type of crime moving forward, I'm certainly hoping that I'm able to help.

It is my sincere hope that this sentencing procedure will be a valuable step in the healing process for all of the victims of my crimes.

Along with the victims and their families who have

Along with the victims and their families who have been directly affected by my actions, there are many additional people that have been impacted as a result of these crimes. I would like to apologize to my numerous friends, former employees, co-workers and of course my family. To my mom Marcy, sister Lisa, brother Brian and my ex-wife Tara who drove through the night from Minnesota to support me here today, I'm so sorry and so thankful for your love and support.

Tara, I'm really sorry to have to put you in a position of raising our kids yourself. I'm so thankful that they have you.

To my dad Charles, my daughter Taylor and my son

Trevor back home in Minnesota, I am so sorry for the pain and suffering I'm putting you all through.

All of my family means the world to me, and besides the pain I have caused the victims and their families, the

```
guilt and shame I feel every day for what I am also putting my own family through is enormous.
```

To all victims, including my family, this is my fault. I have no one to blame but myself for not seeking professional help earlier than I did. Because I did not, I have caused so much suffering to so many people.

I love you all more than words can describe and I feel so blessed to have a family that has given me so much support and unconditional love during this time even though I so often think how I don't deserve that love.

My heart is broken for all the pain I've caused both the victims and my family. I'm so sorry and I love you all so much.

As a person, I'm extremely ashamed of what I've done. There are absolutely no excuses for my behavior. I would do anything to get a chance to rewind my life and undo all of the pain that I've caused so many people. Never in my life did I imagine that I would be the cause of so much pain and suffering, and at no time in the future do I want to ever hurt anyone again. I will always make sure to maintain the self-awareness of how terrible it feels to hurt someone so that I never do anything to hurt someone again.

Since June of 2016, I have committed to live the rest of my life maintaining a high moral code and exceptionally high level of integrity, self-accountability and generally living in

```
a way that is a positive influence on others 100 percent of the time. I will try to redeem myself in any way possible. If there are ways I can assist in prevention of this type of victimization in the future, I will definitely make any contributions that are possible and that I'm allowed to make.

I realize that I may have no redeeming qualities in the minds of many people at this point. I'm a sex offender and that is understandably how I will be viewed for the rest of my life.

I'm also a person that under no circumstances want
```

I'm also a person that under no circumstances want to -- wants to hurt another person ever again.

I realize these are just words and actions are what prove a person's intentions. I'm committed to allowing my actions for the rest of my life be the actions of the good person I wanted to be all along.

I promise everyone I have affected that I will strive each day to learn to be a better person than I was the day before. I will always treat every human being with the respect and kindness that they all deserve. I'll ask God for the guidance I need to be a good person for the rest of my life.

And finally, I again apologize to everyone that I have affected with my actions. These words cannot begin to explain how sorry I am not just leading up to today but how sorry I will be for rest of my life.

Your Honor, I am hopeful that you will see a

```
possibility for redemption at some point in my future.
                                                              Thank
 1
 2
     you.
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you very much, Mr. Robinson.
 3
     Appreciate those words very much.
 4
               On behalf of the United States, Ms. Russo has the
 5
     opportunity to speak as to the appropriate sentence or other
 6
 7
     factors that you'd like to analyze. Go right ahead.
 8
               MS. RUSSO:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
               As with the other sentencing, I'd just like to
     incorporate any of the victim impacts statements from this
10
11
     morning and the Exhibits A through F.
               To give a little bit of the background on Mr.
12
     Robinson, in -- in about 2012 he joined a group of individuals.
13
14
     You're going to hear that Mr. Eisley was also part of that
15
             There were blackmailers in that group, not necess --
     not Mr. Robinson or Mr. Eisley. And then in about 2014 he
16
     joined the Skype Group which Your Honor knows a lot about, and
17
18
     up until June of 2016 he was a very active member of that
19
     group.
               But HSI discovered that this defendant, Mr. Robinson,
20
     was distributing child pornography on a peer-to-peer network.
21
22
     So without knowing about our investigation, we hadn't located
23
     Mr. Robinson yet, they executed a search warrant at his
     residence in June of 2016.
24
25
               Now, the day before that search warrant was executed,
```

```
Mr. Robinson was communicating with a Skype Group, he was producing child pornography of minors, but that search warrant took place and they didn't arrest him. They took his devices and they didn't charge him. They started to look through the devices to see whether charges would be forthcoming.
```

During that time Mr. Robinson did go to a therapist, Your Honor, and he did make those payments to NCMEC that he spoke about. However, before that time, before our arrest warrant and our criminal complaint, before we found him, he also went back to the website, he went back to Website A, Your Honor, multiple times. And he did that from work because he didn't have any electronic devices at home and so the only place that he could log on now was from work since HSI had taken his devices.

So while I think it is very laudable that he's making these payments to NCMEC, I think it's important to note while he's in therapy, while he's making those payments, while he knows a federal investigation is taking place of him, he is back on this website where children are being exploited, the purpose of the website being to exploit children. And if you note, even his therapist said that months prior to our arrest of this defendant, before we located him, he goes back to pornography and dating websites.

So while I a hundred percent believe that Mr.

Robinson is remorseful and I don't believe that of the other

```
defendants frankly, Your Honor, I -- I believe Mr. Robinson's
 1
 2
     remorse is sincere. I have seen it from the very beginning of
     this case. I saw it while all the victims spoke. He was the
 3
     only defendant who wasn't stoic while these victims were
 4
     pouring out their hearts, so I believe it is sincere.
 5
     believe he has an addiction that he cannot control because even
 6
     under investigation, he went back to this website, he went back
 7
 8
     to these types of websites that he knew he wasn't supposed to
 9
     be on.
              For Mr. Robinson having been a part of these two
10
11
     groups, having recorded many of these girls engaged in
12
     bestiality and enticement to engage in bestiality, these are
     the numbers: 28,700 exploitive images and videos.
13
              A total length of videos of 666 hours.
14
               This defendant had over 250 videos of MV-21 who Your
15
16
     Honor knows is present in the courtroom today who we read her
     statement, her father spoke to Your Honor.
17
              He had 96 videos of MV-8. This is the minor whose
18
     father spoke today, Your Honor.
19
              He had a dozen, more than a dozen videos of MV-10
20
21
     whose mom spoke to you too, Your Honor, today.
22
               So this is a defendant who is very, very, very active
23
     in this conspiracy up until the day when investigators took
     away his devices.
24
              He has a number of statements from family and friends
25
```

```
and those statements are extremely compelling, Your Honor. I think the most compelling one is probably from his daughter. But the problem with that statement in my mind is that while this defendant was doing his daughter's hair and her nails, he was talking about putting up a webcam so he could spy on her teenage friends getting undressed and he was talking about how he wished he could get nude photos of her teenage friends.
```

And even while this defendant was at work, he was engaged in this activity, so we find many, many of his logons to Website A from his work. And this chat where he's engaged in trying to entice Minor Victim 8 to engage in bestiality with her pet dog is when the defendant ends the conversation by saying, "Okay. Well, I've" -- you know, to entice this girl to engage in bestiality, "I've recorded it. Now I'm going to get back to work." And that's sort of the attitude that he had about what he was doing.

His ex-wife writes that he would never harm anyone, and I believe all these statements are so sincere and that he has such a good family and his family is so supportive, but this defendant was living a double life. He wasn't just deceiving these young girls but he was deceiving his ex-wife, he was deceiving his family.

This is the type of things that he was saying to MV-10 right after MV-10 told him that she was cutting herself. MV-10, it was a minor. He was very reluctant to engage in

sexual activity, who kept telling them how scared she was, how she didn't know how to do it, and they were telling her how to masturbate, they were teaching her what to do, how many fingers to use, Your Honor. And this defendant is Spencer in this conversation and he's the one egging her on along with a couple of the other defendants.

So he knew that these girls were hurting, he knew that they were engaged in self-harm, and yet these are the types of things that he would say to them. So for -- for me, I don't believe that he would never harm anyone because he did harm, he did harm them knowing that he was harming them and continued the activity.

Again, Your Honor, this is not — the defense makes the argument here that this is just an aberrant act, that he has no criminal history, but again, this is something that went on for more than four years with this specific defendant. It was six years for him, and on a nightly basis he was doing this for hours at a time.

Okay. I want to move on from -- to the evaluator because the evaluator makes a couple of comments about Mr. Robinson. She said he's a moderate risk under the Stable-2007 test and she says that he's low risk under the Static-99. She fails to score male victims under the Static-99, which is just an arithmetic error. There are male victims in this case. Mr. Robinson looped to girls pretending to be a teenage boy, and

```
those boys engaged in masturbation that he used their videos to convince these girls he was a teenager. And so with that, he would be a 4 and he would be moderate risk also under the Static-99.
```

I should mention the Static-99 is based on conviction data, Your Honor, and not on prior offense data, so the government has some issues with it to begin with. But even under the defense version of that test, he is a moderate risk offender.

These are some of things that his therapist said which I think are a lot more helpful than these tests that I — that I think are of questionable validity. She said he has sexual deviance, compulsive behavior, substance abuse, addiction to child pornography, he's attracted to 12 to 17-year-olds, and as I mentioned, Your Honor, he returned to pornography after having the search warrant executed.

I do think though that in his favor a mitigating factor when we look at his risk is his remorse. I a hundred percent believe that if any of these individuals are going to stop themselves from continuing to commit these types of offenses, they have to be remorseful, and Mr. Robinson has that.

The BOP designation argument I wanted to get to because the defense had made this argument that if the defendant receives a sentence of over 24.25 years, that he will

```
not be able to remain near his home, and, Your Honor, that's
 1
 2
     not true.
                There is a facility that is a few hours from his
     family, FCI Oxford, which he could easily be in regardless of
 3
     what sentence Your Honor was going to impose today. But also
 4
     the facility FCI Sandstone that the defense recommends is not a
 5
     facility with a sex offender treatment program, so I think that
 6
     would be problematic anyway. So I just wanted to explain that.
 7
 8
              And the final point I want to make for Mr. Robinson
 9
     is he -- he did have anxiety as a child, he did have
10
     depression, and I acknowledge that, Your Honor, but that's true
     of 20 percent of Americans, and -- and that doesn't justify
11
12
     those 20 percent of Americans going out there and exploiting
                There's no empirical data to suggest that just
13
     children.
14
     because you're anxious and depressed you have to exploit
15
     others. And unfortunately what Mr. Robinson has quaranteed by
16
     his actions is that each and every one of these minor victims
     who are 13, 14, 15-year-old girls are going to have anxiety and
17
     depression, are going to suffer from the same things that he
18
     suffered with as a child.
19
20
              And so for those reasons, Your Honor, the government
21
     requests a sentence of 40 years.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much and thanks to
23
     both of the lawyers who I think have made balanced and very
24
     effective presentations and advocacy of their respective
25
     client's positions.
```

Once again I will address the sentence I intend to give and then give the lawyers final opportunities to make objections before the sentence is imposed.

If we're talking about a departure from a -- or, excuse me, a variance from a term of life in prison, overall Offense Level 43, Criminal History Category I, I would -- I would look to the following factors, a couple of which were identified by Ms. Russo, and in many ways I see the case as -- as she does.

I -- I believe the defendant was a victim of -- of severe addiction and, you know, these are medical issues.

One of the things I have to say is that without in any way saying that the -- that the criminal activity doesn't qualify for the maximum sentence urged, at -- at the time that the individual is committing the criminal activity which has to be punished, which has to be disincentivized and which has to be appropriately dealt with from the perspective of punishment and deterrence, the -- the -- the individual is, if addicted and if soulless, probably not in the position to understand at the time what he understands now.

And so when we sentence an individual, we have to sentence based on the conduct that occurred at the time, but I think we have to take into consideration the potential for rehabilitation and give credit where credit is due for people who have at least had some sort of an epiphany, and I believe

```
Mr. Robinson has and I believe that Mr. -- Ms. -- Ms. -- Ms. Russo under -- understands that.
```

A rational person who's well could not live the sort of compartmentalized life that Mr. Robinson was living by, as both lawyers have pointed out, saying the types of things that he was saying to his daughter and loved ones on the one hand and -- and then doing the things that he was doing criminally on the other. Clearly, I think this is why we see an individual here with the anguish that he has because I think he has indeed rationally looked at the events in which he was involved and confronted what it was that he did. And so I think the opportunity at rehabilitation and the opportunity at getting better are there.

Now, again, that's not to diminish the severe criminal activity in which Mr. Robinson engaged when he was drunk, when he was soulless, when he was whatever he was, and we have to address that, but it's not hard for me to enter a —a little more of a, what would you say, variance because I think this individual's prospects are good as long as you stay in AA and as long as you stay with the treatment and as long as you feel years from now the way you feel here today.

Okay. Pursuant to the Sentence Reform Act of 1984, the Court considered the sentence guidelines and factors in 18 USC, Section 3553(a) and I will hereby commit the defendant, Eric Robinson, to the custody of the U.S. Bureau of Prisons for

```
a term of 408 months.
 1
 2
               It's further recommended that the defendant be
     designated to an institution with a comprehensive sexual
 3
     offender treatment program. I'm not going to take an issue or
 4
     position on the issue of Sandstone versus Oxford, but it seems
 5
     to me that if Oxford's close to the family and has sex
 6
     treatment option, that's the type of facility I would want to
 7
 8
     recommend to the Bureau of Prisons.
 9
              Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall
     be placed on a supervised release term of ten years.
10
11
              The defendant must pay a special assessment of a
12
     hundred dollars. That will be due immediately.
               It's ordered that the defendant pay Justice For
13
     Victims of Trafficking Act assessment of $5,000.
14
15
               Parties agree to a restitution amount of $5,000
     per -- per identified victim, and I take it that's $215,000 in
16
17
     this case, Ms. Russo?
18
              MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, because he is in two groups,
     it would be 235,000.
19
              THE COURT: 235,000 total. By agreement, that
20
     restitution amount will be imposed. No interest, penalty or
21
22
     fees on the restitution amount.
              No fine, no costs of incarceration, no costs of
23
24
     supervision due to the defendant's rather complete lack of
25
     financial resources.
```

While in custody, the defendant must participate in the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, IFRP. I'm aware of the requirements of that program and I approve of the payment schedule of the program and will hereby order the defendant's compliance.

Mandatory drug testing is suspended based on the determination that Mr. Robinson poses a low risk of future substance abuse.

While on supervision, the defendant shall abide by the standard conditions adopted by the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, and he shall comply with the following -- all the following special conditions which are the 14 complete conditions that I imposed on Mr. Kovac and Mr. Meija and which I won't repeat here but will incorporate fully by reference along with the following four additional specific conditions:

Defendant shall have all employment pre-approved by the Probation Department. If the employment requires the use of a computer, the defendant must notify his employer of the nature of the conviction and the notification must be confirmed by the probation officer.

The defendant shall not own or possess a camera, photographic device and/or equipment, including video recording equipment, without prior approval of the probation officer.

And due to the mental health issues that have been

```
discussed here and in the report, the defendant shall submit to
 1
 2
     psychological and psychiatric evaluations as determined by the
     probation officer if necessary.
 3
               That will be the sentence of the Court unless there
 4
 5
     are objections. Ms. Russo?
                          No objection, Your Honor.
 6
              MS. RUSSO:
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Mr. Hayes?
              MR. HAYES: No, Your Honor. If the Court would
 8
 9
     indulge us though, we would request that he be recommended to
     go to the facility in Marion, Illinois. They have a sex
10
     offender program and I believe that'd be the closest.
11
              THE COURT: Marion, Illinois is fine with me but
12
     let's make sure he's not in the maximum facility.
13
              MR. HAYES: It's a medium, Your Honor --
14
              THE COURT: All right.
15
16
              MR. HAYES: -- with the SOTP Program. Thank you.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Very good. I would accede to
17
     that, and you should let the Bureau of Prisons know when they
18
     make their placement decision that, you know, I want what's
19
     best for him, and I'm sure they know that, but if -- if Marion
20
21
     is the best place for him, that would be best for society as
22
     well in my opinion.
23
              Mr. Robinson, you waived the right to appeal your
     sentence and your conviction in your Plea Agreement. Since I
24
     sentenced below the guideline range, that makes your sentencing
25
```

```
waiver effective.
 1
 2
              Waivers of this sort are usually enforceable.
                                                              If you
     don't think that yours is, you can take that up with the U.S.
 3
     Court of Appeals directly.
 4
              The defendant will be remanded to the custody of the
 5
     marshal to further complete the service of his sentence.
 6
               It looks like the corrections of the Pre-Sentence
 7
     Report have already been made so corrected copies will all be
 8
 9
     sent down to -- except for that counsel issue, we need to
     correct that, and then the final reports will be sent to the
10
11
     Bureau of Prisons and the Sentencing Commission. Any other
     copies are to be kept strictly confidential as is the practice
12
     of the district.
13
14
              Anything else from Ms. Russo?
              MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, we'll move to dismiss
15
16
     Counts 2 through 4 of the indictment at this time.
              THE COURT: 2 through 4 are dismissed on order of the
17
18
     Court without objection.
              Anything else from Mr. Hayes?
19
20
              MR. HAYES: No, Your Honor, thank you.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. Good luck to you. You're
22
     ultimately going to be okay but keep on a good path, okay?
23
              DEFENDANT ROBINSON: Thank you.
              THE COURT: All right. You're welcome.
24
25
               (Proceedings concluded at 1:46 p.m.)
```

```
Detroit, Michigan
 1
               Tuesday, July 17, 2018
 2
 3
               (Proceedings commenced at 1:46 p.m., all parties
 4
 5
              present)
              THE COURT: All right. Now we'll go to our final one
 6
     because we've got to be out of here in an hour. Mr. -- Judge
 7
 8
     Goldsmith needs this courtroom.
 9
               So what is next, Ms. Russo?
              MR. MULCAHY: Your Honor, may we approach?
10
              THE COURT: Yes. On the record?
11
              MR. MULCAHY: Off the record.
12
               (Brief discussion held off the record)
13
              THE COURT: Let's recess til 2:00 o'clock, all right?
14
15
     10-minute recess.
16
               (Court in recess at 1:48 p.m.)
               (Proceedings resumed at 2:05 p.m., all parties
17
              present)
18
              THE COURT: All righty. Good afternoon. We are here
19
     now for the sentencing of Bret Massey, which is Defendant
20
     Number 3 in 17-20632.
21
              MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, actually I -- we've switched
22
23
     things around. This is our fault. It's Noel Eisley, Your
2.4
     Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Oh, Noel Eisley. I'm sorry about that.
```

```
Actually I knew that. I mis -- I mistook -- you want to enter
 1
 2
     your appearance there, Ms. Raben?
              MS. RABEN: Yes. Good afternoon, Your Honor,
 3
     Margaret Raben on behalf of Noel Eisley who sits to my left.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you both very much.
 5
              Let me invite you, Mr. Eisley, along with your
 6
 7
     counsel, Ms. Raben, to come on up to the microphone and we'll
 8
     get started here.
 9
              Have you, sir, had an opportunity to thoroughly
     review the Pre-Sentence Report, including any revisions that
10
11
     might have come out after it was first published by the U.S.
     Probation Office?
12
              DEFENDANT EISLEY: Yes, Your Honor.
13
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Very good. I understand there are
     some objections, four or five of them, that I'd like to go
15
16
     through now, and we'll give both lawyers an opportunity to
     speak to the objections.
17
18
              With regard to paragraph 17, the defendant objects to
     some of the findings of the Probation Office with regard to
19
     some of the materials that were allegedly on the videos,
20
21
     specifically I quess some stuffed animals. The -- I mean I
22
     don't know how to resolve this. I don't think it goes to the
23
     computation of the guideline range. It really goes to the
     ownership and some surrounding facts regarding what was on the
24
25
     videos. And aside from having an evidentiary hearing on a
```

```
matter that doesn't affect the quideline range, I wouldn't know
 1
     how to resolve it.
 2
               So I would say I'll accept the objection, note it for
 3
     the record, have it included in the Pre-Sentence Report, but
 4
     not take a position other than that the government contends
 5
     that these are accurate and should the Bureau of Prisons or
 6
     anybody else want to look into the offense conduct they can,
 7
 8
     but that would be my tendency on -- on Objection Number --
 9
     Number 1.
               Ms. Raben?
10
11
               MS. RABEN:
                           Actually, Your Honor, I was going to --
                           Sorry about that.
12
               THE COURT:
                           I was going to address that as part of my
13
              MS. RABEN:
14
     sentencing --
15
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
16
               MS. RABEN:
                          -- response.
                          All right. Well, I'll just note the
17
               THE COURT:
18
     objection then and -- and you can certainly say what you like
     within your allocution, okay?
19
20
               MS. RABEN:
                           Yes.
21
               THE COURT: All right. Very good. With regard to
22
     Objection Number 2, we're talking about the two-sentence
23
     enhancement under 3A1.1(b)(1). This is the vulnerable victim
     enhancement.
24
25
               It was my sense that the government and the defendant
```

```
1
     had -- had agreed to this but apparently they hadn't. Oh, no,
 2
     I'm sorry, the defendant did agree with the enhancement applied
     by accepting the attached worksheets to the Plea Agreement.
 3
               I would rule as a legal matter that the enhancement
 4
 5
     deals directly with individuals who are unusually vulnerable
     due to age or mental condition, and you might argue that at
 6
     a -- at a reduced age, 12, 14, 11, whatever these girls were,
 7
 8
     they'd be particularly susceptible to -- to criminal conduct.
 9
     But again, I won't overrule the objection until and unless I've
10
     heard further argument from Ms. Raben. Would you like to say
11
     anything else?
              MS. RABEN: Your Honor, I -- I am now better informed
12
     about the factual basis for that two-point enhancement.
13
14
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
              MS. RABEN:
                          And I will withdraw that objection.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.
16
     Objection Number 2 is withdrawn and we won't rule on that.
17
              With regard to paragraph 35, the defendant objects to
18
     the enhancement regarding a pattern of activity involving
19
     prohibited sexual conduct. I think this goes to an argument
20
21
     that the U.S. Attorney made earlier on one of the defendants; I
22
     don't remember which. But this deals with the classic legal
23
     issue of whether or not an enhancement is an element of the
24
     criminal activity to which the individual pled quilty, and so
25
     therefore does application of the enhancement double dip.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
think the Court of Appeals has traditionally said that it
hasn't, but the government lodged a response and the probation
officer lodged a response as well. But I would be eager to
hear from you about Objection Number 3 and the 2G1.6 -- or
excuse me, the 2G -- excuse me, 4B1.5(b)(1) enhancement. Go
ahead, Ms. Raben.
         MS. RABEN:
                     Thank you, Your Honor.
         I actually addressed that as one of my arguments for
the -- for a variance. I do think that it's double counting.
I have not found a case that says one way or the other that
it's double counting. I look at the definition or the criteria
for 4B1.5 and I see that it fits as a subset -- I would think
of it as a Venn diagram subset -- of the elements of the CEE.
         I agreed that it was scored as part of Mr. Eisley's
that it is not properly scored, and the guidelines themselves
```

sentencing guidelines because I cannot find anything that says that it is not properly scored, and the guidelines themselves in I think it's 1B1.3, addresses double counting and says yes, there is going to be double counting and it's okay unless we've specifically said that it's not okay. And this is one of those situations where I don't find anything that says that it's not okay to score it as the guidelines.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. RABEN: But I am still struck by the fact that it adds five levels onto the -- the same basic conduct. I've heard Ms. Russo's argument that, well, no, it addresses all of

```
the other possible victims. I don't see anything in 4B1.5 that
 1
     says, oh, this is how you use that.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
 3
              MS. RABEN: So I have made it both as a double
 4
 5
     counting argument or as what I call a piling on argument.
              THE COURT:
 6
                          Yes.
              MS. RABEN: An argument where the guideline range is
 7
     excessive because of the way the quidelines themselves score
 8
 9
     various aspects.
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. I understand the
10
11
     argument. Having listened to Ms. Raben and thought about
12
     things, I know now that Mr. Satawa made a highly similar
     argument, did not object to the guideline range but suggested
13
14
     that the five-point enhancement was enrolled within the
     prohibited conduct by statute itself. It is a valid argument
15
16
     and again I -- I understand it.
               The issue of the cross-reference was addressed by the
17
18
     U.S. Attorney in -- in their response, and I will hear from Ms.
     Russo if she wants to speak, but my instinct now is to overrule
19
     the objection in the absence of any quidance from the Court of
20
21
     Appeals and it appears to be a standard enhancement that's
22
     made, but certainly keep my mind open to the argument of
23
     counsel in terms of what the appropriate sentence would be when
24
     we consider that 2426(b)(1)(A) deals with prohibited sexual
25
     conduct. That is part of the code section under which Mr.
```

```
Eisley was charged, and the -- the -- the guidelines would seem
 1
 2
     to give five additional levels for engaging in that very type
     of -- of conduct.
 3
               Is there anything else you want to say, Ms. Russo?
 4
                           Your Honor, I'll just make two quick
 5
              MS. RUSSO:
              One is I know there's been some confusion about this,
 6
     but there's a pattern of activity enhancement in all of the
 7
 8
     child pornography quidelines. It's not embedded in the CEE or
 9
     production guidelines; instead it's in the 4B1.5(b). But if
     you go to receipt or distrubution, they have the same
10
11
     five-point enhancement; it's just embedded in their guideline.
12
     So it's -- this is -- I know that it -- it's caused some
     confusion, but it really is -- in every child pornography case
13
14
     it is -- it is applicable.
15
              And just the second point I'll make, Your Honor, is
16
     that were we to have charged an indictment with, let's say,
     MV-1 and MV-2 and those were the only victims in the case, this
17
     idea of sort of double counting would make a little more sense
18
     to me, although I think it would still be an incorrect argument
19
     and -- and wouldn't prevail. But in this situation, Your
20
21
     Honor, all of these victims, the hundreds of victims, the 48
22
     identified victims, they're not all charged in the indictment,
23
     they're not the basis of the elements.
24
              THE COURT: Right.
              MS. RUSSO: So that's all, Your Honor.
25
```

```
THE COURT: All right. Well, that's what Ms. Raben
 1
 2
     had anticipated earlier.
               But any response to what the government prosecutor
 3
     said?
 4
 5
               MS. RABEN:
                           Just that it is still piling on.
               THE COURT:
 6
                          Yeah. Okay.
 7
               MS. RABEN:
                          Okay.
               THE COURT: All right.
 8
 9
               MS. RABEN: And I'll address that a little bit later
     when I talk about the quideline range.
10
11
               THE COURT:
                           Indeed. All right. Thank you very much,
     Ms. Raben and Ms. Russo.
12
               The Objection Number 3 will be overruled and Ms.
13
14
     Raben will argue the issue in her allocution as mentioned.
15
               Objection Number 4 and 5 go to computation of the
16
     offense level in light of the objections made in paragraphs 34
     and 35.
              Therefore, I will note Objections Number 4 and Number
17
     5 and not rule on them because they're inoperative in light of
18
     the Court's prior rulings.
19
               And I believe that takes care of the written
20
21
     objections to the Pre-Sentence Report lodged by Ms. Raben and
22
     addressed by both the U.S. Attorney and the probation officer.
23
               So with that in mind, any other objections,
     corrections, or issues with the Pre-Sentence Report you'd like
24
25
     to make at this time, Ms. Raben?
```

```
MS. RABEN:
 1
                           No, sir.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          All right. How about you, Ms. Russo?
              MS. RUSSO:
                           No, Your Honor.
                                            Thank you.
 3
              THE COURT:
                           Okay. Thank you both very much for your
 4
 5
     arguments.
              The Offense Level is 43. The Criminal History
 6
     Category is I. The quideline range at that level is life
 7
 8
     imprisonment and the Plea Agreement reflects a similar term.
 9
     So those will be the factual and legal findings of the Court
     from the Pre-Sentence Report for purposes of this sentencing
10
11
     only.
               I do have the sentence memorandum of Ms. Raben.
                                                                 It's
12
     extensive and it also contains a number of exhibits, mainly and
13
14
     significantly, how would you say it, analytical in nature.
15
     There have been a couple of reports of medical professionals
16
     along with the CV of one of them, an extensive mental health
     examination and report. I've looked at all this. And at the
17
18
     back there are some letters and, in fact, a -- a lengthy letter
     from the defendant himself, Mr. Eisley. So I have read all
19
     those materials.
20
21
               I have the government's sentence memorandum as well
22
     as their supplemental memorandum.
               I've heard the victims' statements which are part and
23
24
     parcel of the hearing and incorporated by reference.
25
              The Preliminary Forfeiture Order has been resolved,
```

that's taken care of.

Restitution is agreed upon to be \$5,000 per victim.

There is no room for a fine or costs of incarceration or things of that nature in light of the defendant's lack of financial resources.

And I think that covers all the bases of our preliminary matters before we get to allocution on behalf of the defendant by Ms. Raben.

As the lawyers know, counsel for a defendant or a defendant through counsel has the absolute right to make any remarks on his own behalf before sentencing, and I'd be very glad to hear yours now, Ms. Raben. Go right ahead.

MS. RABEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The first thing I'm going to say is I am not adopting any of the arguments of the other attorneys, one, because I don't know what they are, I'm not privy to those arguments, and two, what I have heard from their own allocution are arguments that, in fact, I considered and decided not to raise myself.

So I would like to start by making some comments about the government's sentencing memorandum and some broad statements that the government had made about the enterprise and the conduct of the enterprise. I'm not disagreeing with the conduct of the enterprise as described by the government, but I want the Court to know that the -- on page 6 of the government's memoranda when it speaks about one Website A user

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
and one Skype Group member discussing various ways the
enterprise interacted with the minor victims, I have no
information that Mr. Eisley was -- was targeting minors who
were engaging in self-mutilation, and while I don't disagree
with the broad statements of how the enterprise was acting, I
do disagree that he himself was doing this. And we have --
that's the first thing.
         The second thing is on page 15 which is a specific
statement about Mr. Eisley, and it states that he had a -- the
longest child pornography video he had was 66 hours and
33 minutes. Mr. Eisley says that is simply not true. There
might be something on the identifier of the video that says
it's that long, but it is not that long as a matter of fact.
If the government has actually a video that's 66 hours and 33
minutes long, they have not shown it to me, and I -- I -- I
don't know, that just seems so off to me as -- as a factual
matter.
         On page 23, again, there's a reference to a video of
66 hours spanning -- and the total video length spanning
780 hours. Mr. Eisley disputes that.
         There's a characterization that he titled videos.
And make no mistakes, Mr. Eisley is addicted to child
```

```
that he titled any of them in any way. They come titled. When you download them, they come with a title and the titles are — are horrifying. The titles are what the government has stated on page 23. But he did not title them in that way.
```

I've taken a look at the government's recommendation chart here, and, of course, the Court's been talking about it pretty much this morning also, and I'm just trying to figure out what principal difference there is between 40 years for Mr. Eisley, 35 years recommended for Mr. Massey, 30 years recommended for Mr. Phillips, and I -- I don't see it. I -- I -- I just see a -- more similarity than difference between the three of them and certainly not enough difference to justify a 10-year differential in the government's recommendation.

On page 30, 30 of the government's recommendation they talk about something that is characterized as sextortion victimization. There is no evidence that I am aware of that Mr. Eisley did any blackmail or threatening or extortion or -- or any individualized contact with the minor victims in the enterprise as a way of getting them to do or not do something else. It is my understanding that there was such conduct, and Mr. Eisley told the government during his proffer that he had heard about it, he had heard that one or two identified people were doing this, and he gave the names of the government -- to the government of the people that he had heard were doing this.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
But to -- to ascribe that behavior to Mr. Eisley I
believe is factually wrong, and I'm hoping that the Court will
not assume that because he's part of the enterprise, he was
also part of this -- this other piece. In fact, Mr. Eisley was
under the impression that none of these men were to be
contacting any of the minor victims themselves for any reason.
That was one of the so-called rules for interacting here. All
right. And -- and I've already addressed that, and that goes
on to page 31.
         I'd also like to comment on the govern -- one of the
government's exhibits. This is Exhibit E to its original
sentencing memorandum and it's this two-page printout of
certain rules. Mr. Eisley had never seen this until the
government showed it to him during one of his debriefings and
he told them he had never seen it. And if he's never seen it,
I don't think that it was as much a part of the enterprise as
the government is trying to say that it was.
         So that's -- oh, wait, I have one more thing here.
```

So that's -- oh, wait, I have one more thing here.

In the government's supplemental memorandum and reply they
dispute a statement I supposedly made about Mr. Eisley getting
off of the video chat rooms. Mr. Eisley got himself off of the
video chat rooms but he remained online in other activities.

He disclosed those activities to the government during his
proffer.

My understanding is that the government was not aware

```
of his activities on other websites which were not CEE
 1
 2
     activities, and if, in fact, he's going to be -- the government
     is going to use that information he provided during his
 3
     Kastigar proffer, I would argue that that is -- that is, in
 4
     fact, a violation of his Kastigar proffer. What I said in my
 5
     memoranda is that he left Websites A and B about a year before,
 6
     and that's what he maintains that he did. He fully
 7
 8
     acknowledges and acknowledged to the government that he had not
 9
     stopped his online activity.
               The next thing I would like to address is an exhibit
10
11
     that the government provided to the Court today, Exhibit B.
12
     It's a color photograph of two stuffed animals --
              THE COURT: Yeah.
13
                          -- with the Band-Aids.
14
              MS. RABEN:
              THE COURT: Yeah.
15
                          This photograph was taken during the
16
              MS. RABEN:
     search warrant at Mr. Eisley's house. The stuffed animals
17
     there belong to his daughter who was four years old at the
18
            The government finds something alarming about these
19
     photographs. Mr. Eisley's wife, or perhaps better
20
21
     characterized now as ex-wife, told me that their daughter was
22
     in her Band-Aid phase, that they probably bought two boxes of
23
     Band-Aids a week and this child put Band-Aids on herself,
     Band-Aids on her stuffed animals, Band-Aids on the furniture.
24
25
               I can understand what the government's alarm might be
```

```
here, but Mr. Eisley's children were referred -- there was a
 1
 2
     Protective Services case opened because of his conduct
     occurring in the home where these children are.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
 4
                          The children were evaluated several
 5
              MS. RABEN:
             Nothing -- there is no evidence that anything
 6
 7
     inappropriate was ever done to these children or that they
 8
     witnessed anything inappropriate. And so the government
 9
     continues to raise some alarm about these stuffed animals
     except that I am not aware of any basis for any alarm in --
10
11
     in -- in the evaluations of the CPS investigation that was done
12
     in the children's placement.
              THE COURT: Okay.
13
14
              MS. RABEN:
                           The government's opening lines of its
     sentencing memorandum said it: Eisley is addicted, he is
15
16
     obsessed with child pornography and online sexual activity.
     That's what we have here. But he never recruited. He never
17
18
     hunted. He never managed a website. He never monitored a
     website. He never created a chat room for others to join.
19
     never directed any girls into a chat room. There's no evidence
20
21
     that he ever distributed or shared his videos. He did not
22
     contact any minor victim of the CEE directly. He did not
23
     blackmail any minor victim, he did not threaten any minor
2.4
     victim.
              When I met Mr. Eisley and learned something about
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
him, I was stunned. I simply could not understand why someone
who had been gifted with his obvious intellect could -- could
get into this. I understood the computer addiction part of
this because it is toxic, it is absolutely toxic. You go
anywhere at all, you go to a mall and you watch and people are
stumbling around with their heads down and they are doing
something on their phones. They are on the Internet.
         THE COURT:
                    Right.
         MS. RABEN: So I can understand the addictive -- the
attraction, the addiction to the computer stuff. What I
couldn't understand is why this.
                    Right.
         THE COURT:
                     So I was very interested in Dr. Jack
         MS. RABEN:
Haynes' assessment of my client because one of Jack Haynes',
Dr. Haynes' specialties is sexual addiction and that's what I
wanted him to focus on. And I was particularly struck by
the -- the finding in the Carson Psychiatric Profile Evaluation
in which Dr. Aines [sic] -- Haynes describes Mr. Eisley as Type
9, and here's the characteristic: "May at times function in
negative ways that are otherwise inexplicable." And that was
what I was looking for, some explanation for what was going on
in the double life that Mr. Eisley was living, and he
absolutely was living the double life.
         He very clearly has an Internet addictive sexual
behavior disorder, and it can be identified, it can be treated,
```

```
it can be resolved. And even if the judge gives him a 20-year sentence, 20 years is a long, long time. We toss that number around as though it means nothing. It is a huge sentence by any measure.
```

The other evaluations that I was looking for were the evaluations for risk of recidivism and risk of actual sexual contact offenses. In Mr. Eisley's case, both of those evaluations by both of the evaluators come out as low risk for recidivism both of a sexual offense or any kind of offense.

Like everyone else apparently, I have argued for a variance sentence and I have argued for a variance sentence of 20 years, and I was not aware that everybody did but I'm not surprised by that. And for my reasons, I have suggested that none of the defendants in this case come out real good, but within that spectrum of bad behavior, I do think that Mr. Eisley's behavior vis-a-vis the other defendants in this case puts him at the lower level of involvement.

I also think that his lack of criminal history -- and I heard what Ms. Russo said. You know, this isn't lack of criminal history, but that argument went to aberrant behavior, and I have not made an aberrant behavior argument as a departure basis because I understand what the definition of aberrant behavior is under the guidelines and we don't have it here because we do have years of conduct. But for someone with his addictions to get to this point without ever coming across

```
the radar, the police radar in any way certainly indicates to
 1
 2
     me that -- that he has been circumspect in how he's conducted
     his behavior.
 3
              He cooperated with the government. He did everything
 4
     he could. It's not his fault that they interview him fairly
 5
     late in the investigation and he doesn't have anything new to
 6
     tell them.
 7
              THE COURT: Right.
 8
 9
              MS. RABEN:
                          Although I will note that the agents took
     notes during both of the interviews so perhaps there was
10
11
     something. Perhaps it was just things that never resulted
     in -- in substantial assistance. But that isn't necessary here
12
     for the Court to consider because the case law is that you can
13
14
     consider his attempts to cooperate --
15
              THE COURT: Right.
16
              MS. RABEN: -- in good faith, which he did do.
     Government says he didn't give them anything new. Well,
17
18
     that -- he can only give them what he has. And if they already
     have it, that's -- that's just a fact.
19
20
               I've made an argument and we've talked about it here
21
     about the double counting.
22
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
23
              MS. RABEN: Piling on.
              THE COURT: Right.
24
25
              MS. RABEN: I -- I understand it's correctly scored
```

```
in terms of the rules for guidelines, but I -- I do think it's piling on in this -- this particular case because -- primarily because 2G2.6 is a one-offense guideline, probably the only one-offense guideline that's -- that's in the guidelines. It was created when the CEE offense -- the Sentencing Commission added that guideline when Congress created the CEE offense in the -- whenever it was, 2004, 2003, whenever they created the CEE offense.
```

THE COURT: Right.

MS. RABEN: So I've listened to Ms. Russo talk about defendants who are remorseful. Mr. Eisley has trouble communicating. He has trouble communicating with me even after all of these months of us talking. I can easily see how he could adopt an online persona that was talky and verbal and funny and -- and all of the other things that he was online.

I -- I -- I'm not a psychiatrist. I wasn't smart enough to go to med school. But as a -- as a mother, as someone who deals with people, I can really see how he got sucked into this, especially given the -- the assessment of his -- the things he thinks were deficiencies as a teenager, the -- the social deficiencies that he had but didn't quite know what to do with because there's a downside to being too smart, and he -- he just couldn't figure out how to deal with any of this stuff.

I also know that he -- he could not seek professional assistance because under New York law, a counselor or therapist

```
would be required to report him to police if he disclosed his
 1
 2
     child pornography activities or the CEE activities. And that's
     kind of a catch-22 for defendants who -- who realize that they
 3
     need some help: they can't extricate themselves from what
 4
     they're doing on their own but fear the cost --
 5
              THE COURT:
 6
                           Yeah.
              MS. RABEN: -- of -- of seeking that help. So Mr.
 7
 8
     Eisley's solution to that was to try to commit suicide, which,
 9
     of course --
               THE COURT: Right.
10
              MS. RABEN: -- is -- is not the solution. But it
11
     also meant that when he did get psychiatric assistance after
12
     that suicide attempt, he still could not really tell anyone
13
14
     what the problem was.
               So there we are, there we have it.
15
16
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
                          Thank you.
17
              MS. RABEN:
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you very much, Ms. Raben.
18
     Appreciate those strong and incisive remarks on behalf of your
19
20
     client which are very assistive to the Court.
21
              And I would now recognize Dr. Eisley in his own right
22
     to speak personally as to any remarks he'd like to make as to
23
     the sentence or any mitigating factors at this time. Go right
24
     ahead.
25
               DEFENDANT EISLEY: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
```

```
As my attorney already said, I'm not very good at
 1
     public speaking, but I did want to -- I wanted to express my
 2
     apologies to the victims and to their families for -- for all
 3
     the harm that I've caused. I have learned that I did cause
 4
     many people harm, but I think today hearing the statements
 5
     helps -- has helped me understand the -- the true nature and
 6
     the depth of the harm, and I know that I will carry the intense
 7
 8
     quilt and remorse for what I did for every day for the rest of
 9
     my life.
              And I hope that in contrast, the victims, my victims,
10
11
     will some day, and hopefully sooner rather than later, overcome
12
     what I've put them through. And I realize that that might not
     be possible, but -- but I hope that at least every day it
13
14
     brings them a little closer, and I hope that -- I hope that
15
     today is a significant such day in their lives towards --
16
     towards healing.
              And lastly, I wanted to also apologize to my family
17
     for turning their lives upside down forever.
18
              Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20
              THE COURT:
                           Thank you very much, Dr. Eisley. I'm
21
     grateful for those words.
22
              On behalf of the United States, Ms. Russo, your
23
     statement with regard to the appropriate sentence would be
     greatly appreciated.
24
25
              MS. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

I would incorporate the victims' statements from this morning and the Exhibits A through F.

With respect to the -- the 4B1.5 argument I'll just say one thing about that, Your Honor, which is -- I've already made a couple of arguments on this, but if 4B1.5(b) was not applied for -- for CEE cases, Your Honor, that would result in production, one count of production for one victim having a higher guideline range than CEE, or one count of enticement of a minor, for one victim, having a higher guideline range. In fact, distribution of child pornography might have a higher guideline range, which would make absolutely no sense. So I think that argument has been fleshed out enough.

I have three main topics I want to cover with respect to Mr. Eisley. The first deals with the nature and circumstances of the offense. And here, Your Honor, defense in their memo mentions that we initially believed he was one of the less egregious offenders in this group. And that's true, Your Honor, because what we believed about Mr. Eisley was based on the statements he had made on the day of the search warrant and he wasn't forthright. And really that's Mr. Eisley's story; he doesn't tell the truth. And that is why unfortunately Ms. Raben has been told things that are not true over and over and over again by Mr. Eisley, and — and that's part of the problem and part of what makes him so dangerous in my mind, Your Honor.

So number one, he told Ms. Raben that he had left this group for two six-month periods of time, and that compelled me to file a reply to the sentencing memorandum, the only reply that I filed for any of the six defendants, Your Honor, because that is absolutely false. This defendant was involved in this Skype Group chat exploiting minors on both Website A and Website B during those two time periods that he says he was gone from the group almost every day and engaging in conversations about these girls that are absolutely horrific in every way.

He says today that he did not know these girls were self-harming. Absolutely false. He knew they were self-harming. They made fun of the girls self-harming in these chats, Your Honor. I attached many of them to my reply supplemental brief where Mr. Eisley was talking during these two time periods he says he wasn't.

Number three, Exhibit B, why did I give you Exhibit B with these stuffed animals, Your Honor? Because Mr. Eisley did tell us over and over again that there was nothing to these stuffed animals that were recovered, but then he told his evaluator, which was — it was attached to the defense sentencing memorandum in an exhibit, that he used these stuffed animals as props to entice minors on Website A and Website B. So he used stuffed animals from his home to entice these minors. Now, I don't know whether the Band-Aids are related to

```
that or not, Your Honor, and I'm not arguing one way or the other, but the fact that he never told us that despite being asked many times about this is another thing that I wanted to point out.
```

Your Honor, he also says that these videos, that he didn't title them, Your Honor. They don't come titled because he didn't download these videos. He recorded them himself and he had to put a title on them. He could use the default title which he did not do. Instead, he chose to title them in horrific ways. And the fact that he denies that today is —

I — just incredible to me.

That he didn't individually target any of these girls? Your Honor, this is the defendant that did this. Out of the six defendants, this defendant more than any other defendant targeted girls one on one. How do I know that his activity continued after October of 2016? Not from the proffer, Your Honor, but because from the forensic review of his devices what we learned after he made statements to us that were not true was that he had been recording girls and producing child pornography 10 days before the search warrant was executed at his house, and he was talking to minors the day before the search warrant, and that's from evidence recovered from his computer, not from his proffer statements.

The rules that he says, Exhibit E, that he's never seen before, those are from his computer, Your Honor, that's

where we got them is from his devices.

So those are just some examples, Your Honor, of the things that he has told apparently his attorney but certainly has told us that are not true about his conduct.

And this defendant, when we do look at his actual conduct and the nature and circumstances of his offense, I don't know about this longest video. Maybe he just left the recording software on and that's why it recorded 66 hours. I'm not saying that he purposely sat there at the computer recording 66 hours.

But what we do know is he had 9,527 child exploitive images and videos, 1,960 child pornography videos. This is a year after he's told his wife he's quit this activity, he's not doing this anymore, he's not talking to minors. She figured it out and he told her he was stopping. This is what he's doing. And this computer was found under his bed, Your Honor, under the bed that he slept in every single night, that's where we found all this.

Okay. I want to talk -- second topic is about the very compelling letters on his behalf that were submitted by family, by friends. It is very clear to me that this defendant is extremely educated: Brown, Princeton, Ivy league schools, incredibly intelligent, incredibly sophisticated. And it is that intelligence that allowed him to keep committing this crime and hide it from all of his family and all his friends.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
They believed things about him that are completely inconsistent
with his online behavior, and I'll just give a couple examples.
         He had a family member say he's always been gentle
and kind. And this is Eisley talking to a girl telling her to
put a hair bush in herself because that would make her feel
even better, telling her he's going to rip her panties off.
         A family member who says he's extremely kind to
people and animals. And this is Eisley, and I attached this to
the reply brief, talking about a girl and how the group's going
to help her commit an abortion by tell -- because she's
pregnant, and so they're going to figure out -- and Eisley
says, "To be fair, preggo would qualify as girl problems."
Another group member says, "Someone give her a coat hanger,"
and Eisley says, "The plastic ones won't work though." So that
is not consistent with someone who's kind to people and
animals.
         Another family member said, "Noel did not perceive
that the acts were harmful to the girls." Here's a girl
telling him that she's suicidal, and the following day he
says -- she says, "I'm never shoving anything up my vagina."
He says, "Never ever, not even pleasurable things?," and she
```

says, "Never ever ever ever." I didn't put this on here, Your Honor, but you have the whole chat where he goes on to tell her what to put in her vagina.

```
and despite all of the support he had from his family, he kept doing this activity. Despite his wife telling him, "I'm going to stay with you even though I've discovered that you're chatting with -- with teenage girls," even after that he kept doing this.
```

And that's what makes him so dangerous is that not only did he deceive his family, his friends, these young girls, me and — initially when he told us things that weren't true, and even his attorney apparently because she's written things in her sentencing memorandum that I can only assume came from him.

So the third topic I want to talk about is the evaluations that were done of him. When we're looking at risk of recidivism in these types of cases, the Sentencing Commission says we look at sexual deviance and antisocial behavior, those are the two things we look at. This defendant had a Static-99 evaluation done. That evaluation again did not account for male victims which should have been scored and did not account for the fact that the victims here were strangers. So those two points were added as they should have been. He would have been a 3 instead of a 1.

Regardless, I think the evaluation is helpful, and I think what the evaluators — the two different evaluations he had are helpful for a couple of reasons. They — they help us figure out whether there is these factors, antisocial behavior,

sexual deviance, whether there is a risk for recidivism, and those factors are present.

So what did the evaluator say about Mr. Eisley? They said he has an inability to control his behavior. That is a classic antisocial behavior risk factor.

He's emotionally constricted. They wrote he is capable of empathy, not that he had empathy, that he is capable of it.

They wrote that he had sexual compulsion, sexual addiction. They wrote that he had viewed thousands of child pornography images starting at the age of 15 years old. Your Honor, this defendant, while he's never been convicted, has been committing crimes since he was an 18-year-old, he's been viewing child pornography since then.

They wrote that he masturbated while viewing these child pornography images and that he is socially isolated.

And as I mentioned, that he used these children's stuffed animals that were in his house, his children's stuffed animals, as props to entice minors to engage in sexual activity online.

This defendant acknowledged that he had emotional affairs with these girls, and one of his evaluators pointed out a relationship he had with a 14-year-old girl that lasted several years and which eventually Eisley tells his real age to this girl and they continue to be in a relationship of sorts

for nine months after that apparently.

But these are the types of relationships he had with multiple girls, yearlong relationships, constant interactions where they're chatting every day engaged in the sexually explicit conversations with him.

And that sets him apart from each of these other defendants because they didn't do that. They didn't have these long-lasting, one-on-one relationships with these girls the way that Eisley did. And you're going to hear about other defendants in the future, Your Honor, who were similar to Eisley who carried on these relationships, but when we're talking about the defendants who have been sentenced so far today, Eisley stands apart.

These are the risk factors that the Sentencing

Commission has given. I'm not going to go over them again,

Your Honor, because I know I've gone over them before. But my

point here is just that all of these are present when it comes

to Noel Eisley's behavior.

And I want to wrap up now. I want to wrap up just by talking about protection of the public. And so one of the people that wrote a statement on Noel Eisley's behalf wrote that "Eisley did physical, mindless jobs early on during the summer. At 15 he painted the next-door neighbor's house. At 16 he washed dishes and scrubbed pots. And at 17 he dusted books in the college library all summer until he left on a

```
National Science Foundation Programming in engineering."
 1
     then we know, Your Honor, that he went on to Brown and then to
 2
     Princeton.
 3
              This sort of normal childhood is exactly what Noel
 4
 5
     Eisley took away from the hundreds of girls that were this age
     or younger when he targeted them. Now they have to live in
 6
     fear that an Internet predator's going to hunt them down.
 7
                                                                 Thev
     have to take time to miss school to deal with this offense.
 8
 9
     They have to suffer from failing grades, and maybe they won't
     be able to get into the Ivy League colleges that he was able to
10
     get into because he had a supportive family and he didn't have
11
12
     this type of thing happen to him when he was 14 years old.
     They have to deal with knowing that images of them are out
13
14
     there, and those images are of them in their most vulnerable
15
     state and they will confront them wherever they go.
16
               I'm glad that Noel Eisley did not have a bad
     childhood, Your Honor, and I'm glad that he was able to go to
17
18
     Brown and then Princeton to get a doctorate degree.
     glad that he used his extreme intelligence and education to
19
20
     hurt hundreds of innocent girls who may not ever have the
21
     opportunities that he had thanks to what he did.
22
              We would ask for 40 years.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
24
              All righty. The Court will state the sentence and
25
     then I'll give the attorneys for both sides the opportunity to
```

```
1
     object.
              This is the fourth sentencing that we've conducted
 2
     today and the most perplexing and most difficult.
     defendant does have a Ph.D. from Princeton University. He's
 3
     been employed. As Ms. Russo -- Ms. Russo mentioned, the
 4
     letters on his behalf are significant. Dr. Haynes' report was
 5
     complete. Lengthy, compelling letter from Mrs. Eisley.
 6
     or Dr. Eisley's wife wraps up by saying he deserves to be
 7
 8
     appropriately punished for his deeds but he also needs help.
 9
     That's exactly where we're at today.
               I, like Ms. Raben, don't know and I can't comprehend
10
     what would put an individual like this in a situation where he
11
12
     committed crimes in a child exploitation enterprises and --
     enterprise and faces a life sentence as he does. But -- but I
13
     can assure myself I think that the drive of the addiction, the
14
     fascination of the Internet, the -- you know, whatever it is of
15
16
     the subject matter must take hold coupled with the weaknesses
     and the deficit that the defendant encounters in a
17
18
     psychological way that have been written up by the doctors.
               I don't think Dr. Eisley should deserve to spend the
19
     rest of his life in jail and I'd like to see him have some time
20
21
     to do something productive after a lengthy prison term.
              On the other hand, I don't see a great deal to vary
22
23
     downward from what others have gotten or what others in other
```

cases have gotten. I think this is a -- a classic case where

an individual committed a serious crime who needs to be

24

25

```
incarcerated for the protection of the public and for the deterrence of others from doing it while hopefully at the same time he will examine the motivations that caused the behavior and reflect upon the devastation that he talked about in his allocution and hopefully do something where he can contribute down the line.
```

So whereas I'm not willing to, and I don't think it's appropriate for me to, write off Dr. Eisley, I think I also have to impose a very significant punishment to reflect both the -- the will of Congress, the will of the people of the United States and -- and what I perceive to be an appropriate penalty for the behavior that caused so much victimization that we heard about today.

Therefore, pursuant to the Sentence Reform Act of 1984, the Court has considered the sentence guidelines and factors in 18 USC, Section 3553(a) and will hereby commit Noel Eisley to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 420 months.

It's further recommended that the defendant be designated to an institution with a comprehensive sexual offender treatment program.

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be placed on a supervised release term of ten years.

It's further ordered that the defendant pay a special assessment of a hundred dollars and that'll be due immediately.

```
It's ordered that the defendant pay a Justice For
 1
 2
     Victims of Trafficking Act assessment of five thousand dollars.
              The Court's aware that the parties agreed to
 3
     restitution in the amount of $5,000 per identified victim, and
 4
     I will waive any interest, penalties and fees on the
 5
     restitution amount, total amount being $215,000 across all the
 6
     victims who are entitled to a payment.
 7
 8
              No fine, no costs of incarceration, no costs of
 9
     supervision due to the defendant's lack of financial resources.
10
              Mandatory participation in the Inmate Financial
     Responsibility Program, the requirements of which I am aware
11
12
     of, and I'll hereby approve the payment schedules of the
     program and order the defendant's compliance.
13
              A mandatory drug testing condition is suspended based
14
15
     on my determination that the defendant poses a low risk of
     future substance abuse.
16
              While on supervision, the defendant shall abide by
17
     the standard conditions adopted by the U.S. District Court for
18
     the Eastern District of Michigan.
19
              He must also comply with the following special
20
21
     conditions which I'm going to summarize now. There's 19 of
22
     them and I've gone through all of them in great detail
     throughout the day. They'll be stated with specificity in the
23
24
     Judgment and Commitment Order.
25
              But essentially the special conditions of supervised
```

```
release will be compliance with the SORNA or registration as a
 1
 2
     sex offender.
               Completion of sex offender evaluations, treatment and
 3
     counseling.
 4
               Submission to periodic testing on supervised release
 5
     to ensure compliance with supervision and treatment.
 6
               No association with any minor children under the
 7
     age -- year of 18 without the -- age of 18 without the prior
 8
 9
     approval of the probation officer.
               Notification of anyone that Mr. Eisley dates or
10
     marries with a minor child under the age of 18 of the
11
     conviction.
12
               No selling, viewing, purchasing, possession of any
13
     pornography, sexually explicit material, child erotica and
14
     things of that nature.
15
16
               Employment pre-approved by the Probation Department.
               Residences, pre-approved by the Probation Department.
17
               And participation in the Computer/Internet Monitoring
18
     Program that's run by the U.S. Probation Department.
19
               Submission of person, residence, papers, what have
20
21
     you, to search if the probation officer has reasonable cause to
22
     think of the possession of contraband or things of that nature.
               No contact, directly or indirectly, with any victim
23
24
     or witness in the instant case.
               Employment pre-approved by the Probation Department.
25
```

```
If it requires the use of a computer, then the employer has to
 1
 2
     know about the nature of the conviction, and the notification
     of that must be confirmed by the probation officer.
 3
               No possession or ownership of a camera or
 4
 5
     photographic device without prior approval.
               Given the mental health issues and the prior history
 6
     from 2014 which was written about in the Pre-Sentence Report,
 7
 8
     we're going to order psychological and psychiatric evaluation,
 9
     participation in a program approved by the Probation Department
     for mental health counseling, and taking all medications that
10
11
     are prescribed in the dosages and at the times proposed.
               Given the restitution order, no credit or additional
12
     lines of credit.
13
               Access of the probation officer to any requested
14
     financial information.
15
               Monthly installment payments on any remaining balance
16
     of the fine.
17
               And that will be it for the special conditions.
18
               Are there any objections to the sentence that I just
19
     stated, Ms. Russo?
20
21
               MS. RUSSO: No, Your Honor. The restitution amount
     for this defendant is 235,000, but I'm going to submit for each
22
23
     defendant a stipulated order, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Any objections to the
24
25
     sentence from, Ms. Raben?
```

```
Yes, Your Honor. I believe the sentence
 1
               MS. RABEN:
     is excessive.
 2
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 3
               MS. RABEN: And also I don't know if -- my client,
 4
     because he's from the East Coast --
 5
               THE COURT:
 6
                           Yes.
 7
               MS. RABEN: -- was interested in being housed at
     Danbury or Otisville or Fort Dix.
 8
 9
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                          I don't know if either of those has a
10
               MS. RABEN:
11
     SOTP Program.
               THE COURT:
12
                          Yes.
                           But could the judgment include those
13
               MS. RABEN:
14
     three possible designations?
                           Potentially yes. If you're willing to
15
               THE COURT:
     supply through e-mail or a piece of paper our case manager with
16
     those facilities, we'll incorporate them. My first preference
17
     is he gets sex treatment. Secondly, I'd recommend he be close
18
     to his children and family. And if you can combine the two at
19
     one of those facilities, I would strongly recommend that as
20
     well.
21
22
               MS. RABEN:
                           Thank you.
               THE COURT:
23
                           Thank you.
               So with all that in mind and after the objection of
24
     counsel, I will nevertheless impose the sentence that I stated
25
```

```
previously.
 1
               The defendant, Mr. Eisley, has agreed to give up the
 2
     right to appeal his conviction as part of his plea. And in
 3
     addition, Dr. Eisley, you will waive the right to appeal your
 4
     sentence since I was below the applicable quideline range.
 5
              Those types of waivers are usually enforceable. If
 6
     you don't think yours is, you can take that up directly with
 7
 8
     the Court of Appeals.
 9
               The defendant will be remanded to the custody of the
     marshal to carry out the further sentence, serving of his
10
11
     sentence.
              Complete copies of the Pre-Sentence Report will be
12
     sent to the Bureau of Prisons and the Sentencing Commission,
13
14
     and any other copies are to be kept strictly confidential as
15
     the lawyers know.
              And I do want to commend both lawyers for their hard
16
     work and expert representation in this case, and especially Ms.
17
     Raben for her continued service to the Court for taking
18
     appointments of this nature.
19
                          Your Honor, Mr. Eisley -- I'm retained.
20
              MS. RABEN:
21
              THE COURT: Oh, you're retained. Okay. All right.
22
              MS. RABEN:
                          Yes.
23
              THE COURT: Well, even better.
              MS. RABEN:
                           Thank you.
24
25
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. You did a great job.
```

```
Anything else?
 1
               MS. RUSSO: I'll move to dismiss at this time, Your
 2
     Honor, Counts 2 through 4. And that would be all from the
 3
 4
     government.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. We'll be
 6
     in recess now.
 7
               (Court in recess at 3:10 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, Linda M. Cavanagh, Official Court Reporter of the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, 3 appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States 4 Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 1 5 through 184 comprise a full, true and correct transcript of the 6 7 proceedings held in the matter of United States of America vs. 8 D-1 Noel Eisley, D-2 Terry Kovac, D-4 Felipe Dominguez-Meija 9 and D-6 Eric James Robinson, Case No. 17-20632, on Tuesday, July 17, 2018. 10 11 12 s/Linda M. Cavanagh 13 Linda M. Cavanagh, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC 14 Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court 15 Eastern District of Michigan 16 17 18 Date: August 30, 2018 Detroit, Michigan 19 20 21 22 23 24 25